

1. Age

State and County data

Universe (population): Total non-institutionalized population

A1. Age group	Vermont			Addison			Bennington			Caledonia			Chittenden			Essex		
	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
0-17	126,325	+/-101	20%	7,245	+/-6	20%	7,379	+/-17	20%	6,658	+/-47	22%	30,695	+/-46	20%	1,196	+/-27	19%
18-64	401,614	+/-237	65%	24,080	+/-43	66%	22,224	+/-66	61%	19,194	+/-90	62%	107,828	+/-154	69%	3,794	+/-27	60%
65+	91,793	+/-171	15%	5,306	+/-49	14%	6,726	+/-121	19%	4,885	+/-63	16%	18,002	+/-178	12%	1,286	+/-16	20%
Total population	619,732	+/-141		36,631	+/-43		36,329	+/-128		30,737	+/-92		156,525	+/-176		6,276	+/-13	

Data source: ACS 2009-2013 5-year estimates (publicly available data); table S2701 (0-17, 18-64, 65+); table B01001 (65-74, 85+)

'Individuals' refers to estimated number of individuals, based on Census data.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a information in Technical Notes.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of total number of individuals.

Current Demographics in Vermont - State and County data

Table of Contents

Tab	Table name	County/ State	Data source	Time frame
A - Demographics for the General Population				
A1	Age	State & County	ACS (public)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
A2	Dependency ratios	State & County	ACS (public)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
A3	Disability	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
A4	Income	State & County	ACS (public)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
A5	Household size	State & County	ACS (public)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
A6	House ownership vs. renting	State & County	ACS (public)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
A7	Percent of household income spent on housing	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
A8	Health insurance coverage	State & County	ACS (public); VT Household Health Ins Survey (VHHIS)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates; 2014
A9	Behavioral health by age and disability	State	BRFSS	2015
B - Demographics for Older Adults (aged 65 years and older)				
B1	Disability	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
B2	Income	State & County	ACS (public)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
B3	Household size	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
B4	House ownership vs. renting	State & County	ACS (public)	2010 1-yr estimate
B5	Percent of household income spent on housing	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
B6	Health insurance coverage	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
B7	Obesity and diabetes	State	BRFSS	2015
C - Demographics for Disabled Individuals				
C1	Income	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
C2	Household size	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
C3	Percent of household income spent on housing	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
C4	Income by age and disability - Individuals living alone	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
C5	Income by age and disability - Individuals living with two or more household members	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
C6	Health insurance coverage	State & County	ACS (custom)	2009-2013 5-yr estimates
C7	Obesity and diabetes	State	BRFSS	2015

A. General Population

2. Dependency Ratios

State and County data

Universe (population): Total non-institutionalized population

A2. Dependency Ratios *	Vermont		Addison		Bennington		Caledonia	
	Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-
Age dependency ratio	55	+/-0.1	53	+/-0.2	65	+/-0.3	60	+/-0.4
Old-age dependency ratio	24	+/-0.1	23	+/-0.1	32	+/-0.2	26	+/-0.2
Child dependency ratio	31	+/-0.1	30	+/-0.1	33	+/-0.1	34	+/-0.3

Data source: ACS 2009-2013 5-year estimates (publicly available data); table S0101.

'Ratios' shown are explained further in 'Notes' below.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure. If the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 40, contains the true value.

*** Dependency Ratios - definitions:**

Age dependency ratio: Derived by dividing the combined under-18 and 65-and-over populations by the 18-to-64 population.

Old-age dependency ratio: Derived by dividing the population 65 and over by the 18-to-64 population and multiplying by 100.

Child dependency ratio: Derived by dividing the population under 18 by the 18-to-64 population and multiplying by 100.

Chittenden		Essex		Franklin		Grand Isle		Lamoille		Orange	
Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-
46	+/-0.1	65	+/-1.2	58	+/-0.3	53	+/-1.5	56	+/-0.7	57	+/-0.2
17	+/-0.1	34	+/-0.5	20	+/-0.2	23	+/-0.6	21	+/-0.3	24	+/-0.2
28	+/-0.1	32	+/-0.9	38	+/-0.1	30	+/-1.1	34	+/-0.5	32	+/-0.1

measure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence of 120 in this example, contains the population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

18-to-64 population and multiplying by 100.
and multiplying by 100.
multiplying by 100.

Orleans		Rutland		Washington		Windham		Windsor	
Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-	Ratio	MOE +/-
65	+/-0.4	57	+/-0.2	55	+/-0.2	57	+/-0.2	62	+/-0.3
31	+/-0.3	27	+/-0.2	23	+/-0.2	27	+/-0.2	30	+/-0.2
35	+/-0.1	30	+/-0.1	31	+/-0.1	31	+/-0.1	32	+/-0.1

confidence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is

A. General Population

3. Disability

State and County data
Universe (population): Total population for whom disabilities are asked

A3. Disability Type	Vermont			Addison			Bennington			Caledonia			Chittenden		
	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty only (ages 5 and older)	12,405	+/-676	2%	575	+/-121	2%	830	+/-185	2%	990	+/-215	3%	2,370	+/-344	2%
Functional Difficulty only (ages 15 and older)	30,845	+/-868	5%	1,680	+/-205	5%	2,335	+/-234	7%	1,740	+/-216	6%	5,605	+/-505	4%
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	22,080	+/-819	4%	1,290	+/-184	4%	1,605	+/-233	5%	1,520	+/-194	5%	4,540	+/-499	3%
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	459,245	+/-1,446	77%	27,610	+/-279	78%	26,250	+/-383	75%	21,550	+/-330	73%	120,310	+/-726	80%
Missing data (disability question/s not asked)	70,090		12%	4,030			3,990			3,730			17,075		
Total population for whom disabilities are asked (ages 5 and older)	594,665	+/-189		35,185	+/-22		35,010	+/-67		29,530	+/-39		149,900	+/-32	

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), based on 2009-2013 five-year estimates. For further information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.

'Individuals' refers to estimated number of individuals, based on Census data.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence of 90 percent.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of total population.

'Total' refers to the total number of individuals who were asked one or more of the ACS disability questions (5 years or older, or 15 years or older, see notes below). The ACS asks the 'Cognitive Difficulty' question and two of three of the 'Functional Difficulty' and 'No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty' rows, and the 'Total' (individuals aged 5 years and older) does not equal the sum of individual subcategories.

'Missing data (not available/question not asked)' indicates the difference between 'Total' count and sum of individual disability categories. This count reflects individuals who were not asked one or more of disability questions, due to a

Disability Category:	Population age restriction (individuals whom disability question/s are asked in ACS):
Cognitive Difficulty only	5 years and older (1 question)
Functional Difficulty only	15 years and older (1 question) and 5 years and older (2 questions)
Cognitive Difficulty & Functional Difficulty	15 years and older (that answered all three 'functional difficulty' questions)
No Cognitive Difficulty or Functional Difficulty	15 years and older (that answered all three 'functional difficulty' questions)
Total	5 years and older (that answered 1 or more of the four cognitive/functional difficulty ACS questions)

Essex			Franklin			Grand Isle			Lamoille			Orange			Orleans			Rutland			Washington		
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
80	+/-24	1%	790	+/-145	2%	125	+/-63	2%	315	+/-107	1%	615	+/-119	2%	505	+/-93	2%	1,415	+/-239	2%	905	+/-195	
535	+/-77	9%	2,645	+/-305	6%	280	+/-56	4%	1,030	+/-168	4%	1,555	+/-193	6%	1,890	+/-152	7%	3,365	+/-311	6%	2,440	+/-268	
220	+/-50	4%	1,640	+/-217	4%	160	+/-51	2%	665	+/-159	3%	860	+/-142	3%	1,185	+/-155	5%	2,310	+/-236	4%	2,005	+/-277	
4,495	+/-101	75%	33,670	+/-388	75%	5,345	+/-103	80%	18,230	+/-265	79%	21,150	+/-260	77%	19,030	+/-238	74%	44,920	+/-448	77%	44,380	+/-416	
665			6,275			765			2,945			3,350			3,165			6,540			6,680		
5,995	+/-17		45,020	+/-92		6,675	+/-12		23,185	+/-49		27,530	+/-25		25,775	+/-39		58,550	+/-34		56,410	+/-77	

t. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the population value. See more information

'Functional Difficulty' questions of individuals aged 5 years and older, while one of three 'Functional Difficulty' questions is asked of individuals aged 15 years and older. For this reason, some of the persons included in the 'Total' row (5-14 year-
ge restrictions (see Population age restrictions below).

	Windham			Windsor		
%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
2%	1,485	+/-280	4%	1,410	+/-240	3%
4%	2,480	+/-229	6%	3,275	+/-324	6%
4%	1,635	+/-199	4%	2,450	+/-351	5%
79%	31,830	+/-346	76%	40,470	+/-513	75%
	4,720			6,145		
	42,150	+/-61		53,750	+/-94	

in Technical Notes.

olds) are excluded from the 'Functional Difficulty', 'Cognitive or

A. General Population

4. Income

State and County data

Universe (population): Total civilian noninstitutionalized population for whom poverty status is determined.

A4. Income (% FPL)	Vermont			All
	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	
Below 138% poverty level	109,399	+/-2,675	18%	5,862
138-199% poverty level	66,563	+/-2,122	11%	3,457
Above 200% poverty level	424,694	+/-3,085	71%	24,889
Total population for whom poverty is determined	600,656	+/-373		34,208

Data source: ACS 2009-2013 5-year estimates (publicly available data); table S2701.

'Individuals' refers to estimated number of individuals, based on Census data.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of total number of individuals.

FPL, Federal Poverty Level, determined using household income during the past 12 months. The ACS does not

Edison	Bennington				Caledonia				Chittenden			
MOE +/- %	Individuals	MOE +/-	%		Individuals	MOE +/-	%		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	
+/-478 17%	7,560	+/-640	21%		6,141	+/-550	20%		23,967	+/-1,423	16%	
+/-420 10%	4,514	+/-587	13%		4,308	+/-497	14%		10,993	+/-994	7%	
+/-552 73%	23,286	+/-701	66%		19,585	+/-685	65%		113,197	+/-1,501	76%	
+/-275	35,360	+/-207			30,034	+/-219			148,157	+/-443		

± that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence

determine poverty status for people living in institutional group quarters (such as prisons or nursing homes), co

Essex			Franklin			Grand Isle			La
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals
1,706	+/-157	27%	7,992	+/-934	17%	906	+/-186	13%	4,764
1,026	+/-140	16%	5,729	+/-624	12%	771	+/-152	11%	3,126
3,518	+/-182	56%	33,614	+/-1,031	71%	5,302	+/-185	76%	16,041
6,250	+/-25		47,335	+/-173		6,979	+/-7		23,931

± of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 pe

college dormitories, military barracks, or in situations without conventional housing (and who are not in she

amoille	Orange				Orleans				Rutland			
MOE +/- %	Individuals	MOE +/-	%		Individuals	MOE +/-	%		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	
+/-515 20%	5,522	+/-473	20%		6,171	+/-435	23%		12,156	+/-958	21%	
+/-448 13%	3,043	+/-422	11%		4,300	+/-441	16%		7,720	+/-761	13%	
+/-572 67%	19,668	+/-590	70%		15,826	+/-463	60%		39,046	+/-1,178	66%	
+/-173	28,233	+/-167			26,297	+/-186			58,922	+/-308		

percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the

lters), or for unrelated individuals under age 15 (such as foster children). These individuals are excluded from tl

Washington			Windham			Windsor		
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
9,029	+/-822	16%	8,218	+/-638	19%	9,405	+/-730	17%
5,784	+/-683	10%	5,973	+/-701	14%	5,819	+/-695	11%
42,015	+/-985	74%	28,593	+/-749	67%	40,114	+/-860	72%
56,828	+/-307		42,784	+/-204		55,338	+/-215	

population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

he 'Total' count.

A. General Population

5. Household size

State and County data

Universe (population): Households

A5. Household size	Vermont			Addison		
	Households	MOE +/-	%	Households	MOE +/-	%
Family households (2 or more members)	161,275	+/-1,390	63%	9,530	+/-302	67%
Nonfamily households	95,729	+/-1,349	37%	4,634	+/-265	33%
1-person household (living alone)	72,895	+/-1,190	28%	3,540	+/-234	25%
Total number of households	257,004	+/-1,218		14,164	+/-231	

Data source: ACS publicly available data table B11016; 2009-2013 five-year estimates.

'Households' refers to estimated number of total households, based on census data.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure t

Percent column (%) refers to percent of total number of households.

Notes:

Family Households: A family householder is a householder living with one or more individuals related to him o

Nonfamily Households: A nonfamily householder is a householder living alone or with non-relatives only.

Bennington			Caledonia			Chittenden			E
Households	MOE +/-	%	Households	MOE +/-	%	Households	MOE +/-	%	Households
10,049	+/-405	65%	7,980	+/-281	64%	37,546	+/-710	60%	1,792
5,393	+/-371	35%	4,511	+/-319	36%	25,041	+/-786	40%	982
4,518	+/-341	29%	3,620	+/-267	29%	17,495	+/-714	28%	793
15,442	+/-333		12,491	+/-223		62,587	+/-471		2,774

that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence

or her by birth, marriage, or adoption. The householder and all people in the household related to him or

ssex	Franklin				Grand Isle				Lamoille			
MOE +/- %	Households	MOE +/-	%		Households	MOE +/-	%		Households	MOE +/-	%	
+/-88 65%	12,880	+/-382	69%		2,142	+/-120	71%		6,076	+/-258	60%	
+/-90 35%	5,736	+/-304	31%		881	+/-114	29%		3,970	+/-326	40%	
+/-85 29%	4,310	+/-278	23%		736	+/-103	24%		2,916	+/-280	29%	
+/-106	18,616	+/-356			3,023	+/-137			10,046	+/-322		

nce of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 pe

her are family members.

Orange			Orleans			Rutland			Was
Households	MOE +/-	%	Households	MOE +/-	%	Households	MOE +/-	%	Households
7,939	+/-236	66%	6,986	+/-257	63%	16,215	+/-320	63%	15,113
4,023	+/-214	34%	4,057	+/-223	37%	9,539	+/-391	37%	9,548
3,140	+/-204	26%	3,184	+/-216	29%	7,466	+/-395	29%	7,454
11,962	+/-227		11,043	+/-290		25,754	+/-376		24,661

percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contain

hington		Windham				Windsor			
MOE +/-	%	Households	MOE +/-	%		Households	MOE +/-	%	
+/-376	61%	11,544	+/-395	59%		15,483	+/-444	62%	
+/-383	39%	7,873	+/-389	41%		9,541	+/-448	38%	
+/-358	30%	6,163	+/-349	32%		7,560	+/-408	30%	
+/-311		19,417	+/-393			25,024	+/-402		

is the population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

A. General Population

6. House ownership vs. renting

State and County data

Universe (population): Occupied housing units

A6. House ownership vs. renting	Vermont			Addison			Bennington	
	Housing units	MOE +/-	%	Housing units	MOE +/-	%	Housing units	MOE +/-
Renter	74,467	+/-1,128	29%	3,667	+/-221	26%	4,537	+/-364
Owner	182,537	+/-1,320	71%	10,497	+/-233	74%	10,905	+/-334
With a mortgage	120,485	+/-1,364	47%	6,970	+/-226	49%	6,820	+/-344
Without a mortgage	62,052	+/-1,092	24%	3,527	+/-226	25%	4,085	+/-273
Total housing units	257,004	+/-1,218		14,164	+/-231		15,442	+/-333

Data source: ACS publicly available data, table DP04, 2009-2013 five-year estimates.

'Housing units' refers to estimated total number of household units, based on census data.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure of the population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of total number of households.

	Caledonia			Chittenden			Essex			Franklin		
	Housing			Housing			Housing			Housing		
%	units	MOE +/-	%	units	MOE +/-	%	units	MOE +/-	%	units	MOE +/-	%
29%	3,325	+/-237	27%	21,851	+/-564	35%	527	+/-75	19%	4,446	+/-331	24%
71%	9,166	+/-213	73%	40,736	+/-559	65%	2,247	+/-89	81%	14,170	+/-352	76%
44%	5,600	+/-247	45%	29,517	+/-699	47%	1,166	+/-76	42%	10,106	+/-369	54%
26%	3,566	+/-220	29%	11,219	+/-584	18%	1,081	+/-77	39%	4,064	+/-284	22%
	12,491	+/-223		62,587	+/-471		2,774	+/-106		18,616	+/-356	

ure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence

Grand Isle			Lamoille			Orange			Orleans		
Housing units	MOE +/-	%	Housing units	MOE +/-	%	Housing units	MOE +/-	%	Housing units	MOE +/-	%
568	+/-90	19%	2,881	+/-286	29%	2,213	+/-246	19%	2,497	+/-189	23%
2,455	+/-122	81%	7,165	+/-277	71%	9,749	+/-218	81%	8,546	+/-236	77%
1,666	+/-125	55%	4,550	+/-211	45%	6,167	+/-244	52%	4,775	+/-196	43%
789	+/-89	26%	2,615	+/-218	26%	3,582	+/-217	30%	3,771	+/-182	34%
3,023	+/-137		10,046	+/-322		11,962	+/-227		11,043	+/-290	

of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 percent

Rutland			Washington			Windham			Windsor		
Housing units	MOE +/-	%	Housing units	MOE +/-	%	Housing units	MOE +/-	%	Housing units	MOE +/-	%
7,812	+/-507	30%	6,640	+/-357	27%	5,941	+/-426	31%	7,562	+/-453	30%
17,942	+/-460	70%	18,021	+/-383	73%	13,476	+/-308	69%	17,462	+/-520	70%
11,194	+/-392	43%	12,040	+/-389	49%	8,778	+/-323	45%	11,136	+/-425	45%
6,748	+/-363	26%	5,981	+/-310	24%	4,698	+/-269	24%	6,326	+/-380	25%
25,754	+/-376		24,661	+/-311		19,417	+/-393		25,024	+/-402	

nt certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the

A. General Population

7. Percent of household income spent on housing

State and County data

Universe (population): Occupied housing units

A7. Percent of household income spent on housing							
House ownership	Vermont		Addison		Bennington		Cale
	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%
Renter	31%	+/-0.6	30%	+/-2.1	31%	+/-2.3	34%
Owners	23%	+/-0.2	24%	+/-0.5	24%	+/-0.8	21%
With a mortgage	25%	+/-0.3	26%	+/-0.7	26%	+/-1.0	24%
Without a mortgage	17%	+/-0.3	18%	+/-1.2	18%	+/-1.3	17%

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), 2009-2013 five
'Percent' (%) refers to median (average) share of monthly owner costs as a proportion of household income (f
A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure
percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains

donia	Chittenden		Essex		Franklin		Grand Isle		Lamoille	
MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-
+/-2.4	33%	+/-0.8	35%	+/-5.0	32%	+/-2.6	31%	+/-4.8	30%	+/-3.0
+/-1.0	22%	+/-0.5	22%	+/-1.1	23%	+/-0.6	23%	+/-0.9	24%	+/-1.1
+/-1.0	25%	+/-0.5	26%	+/-1.5	25%	+/-0.7	26%	+/-2.4	28%	+/-1.5
+/-1.1	14%	+/-0.7	17%	+/-1.7	16%	+/-1.1	17%	+/-1.7	18%	+/-2.2

-year estimates. For further information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.

or owners), or percent of monthly median gross rent as a percentage of household income (renters). Exclu
 : that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confi
 the population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

Orange		Orleans		Rutland		Washington		Windham	
%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-
33%	+/-2.0	33%	+/-2.3	31%	+/-1.9	29%	+/-1.5	30%	+/-1.5
22%	+/-0.7	23%	+/-0.6	22%	+/-0.6	23%	+/-0.5	25%	+/-0.8
25%	+/-0.9	26%	+/-1.1	25%	+/-0.7	25%	+/-0.6	27%	+/-0.9
16%	+/-0.9	17%	+/-1.0	17%	+/-0.8	17%	+/-0.8	17%	+/-1.3

ides all owner-occupied housing units that had zero or negative household income.

dence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be

dsor
MOE +/-
+/-1.7
+/-0.6
+/-1.0
+/-1.0

≥ 90

A. General Population

8. Health Insurance Coverage

State and County data

Universe (population): Total civilian noninstitutionalized population

A8a. Health Insurance Coverage (2009-2013)	Vermont	
	Individuals	MOE +/-
With health insurance coverage (insured)	574,185	+/-1,685
Private (alone or in combination with public)	424,451	+/-3,205
Private alone	330,045	+/-3,366
Public (alone or in combination with private)	234,325	+/-2,954
Public alone	129,832	+/-2,668
Medicare	108,622	+/-841
Medicare alone	23,481	+/-906
Medicaid	146,410	+/-2,791
Medicaid alone	104,396	+/-2,550
Medicaid and Medicare*	16,620	+/-713
Without health insurance coverage (uninsured)	45,547	+/-1,690
Total non-institutionalized population	619,732	+/-141

Data sources: ACS public data tables S2701 and B27010 ('Medicare and Medicaid' category only); 2009-2013 for 'Individuals' refers to estimated total number of individuals, based on census data.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure 'Percent' column (%) refers to percent of total non-institutionalized population.

Notes: *Data has been calculated by summing estimated values for individual age categories <18, 18-34, 35-64

Private health insurance includes:

Employment-based health insurance (included in total)
 Direct-purchase health insurance (included in total)
 TRICARE/military health coverage (included in total)

Public health insurance includes:

Medicare coverage (listed separately)
 Medicaid/means-tested public coverage (listed separately)
 VA Health Care (included in total)

A8b. Health Insurance Coverage (2014 data)	Vermont	
	Individuals	%
With health insurance coverage (insured) *	603,400	96.3%
Private - Total Enrollees (alone or in combination with public)	351,207	56%
Private alone	328,111	52%
Medicaid - Total Enrollees (alone or in combination with other)	162,681	26%
Medicaid alone	132,639	21%

Medicaid + private	8,880	1%
Medicaid + Medicare	20,018	3%
Medicare - Total Enrollees (alone or in combination with other)	121,615	19%
Medicare alone	81,397	13%
Medicare + Private	11,944	2%
Without health insurance coverage (uninsured)	23,231	3.7%
Total estimated population (insured + uninsured)**	626,631	

Data source: State of Vermont Household Health Insurance Survey (VHHIS); 2014 data (data not available at the time of reporting).
 *Individuals' refers to estimated total number of individuals, based on survey data. Payer Type indicates primary insurance type not shown (3% of Vermonters).

A overall margin of error (MOE) reported for the 2014 Vermont Household Health Insurance Survey (VTHHIS) was 3.7%.
 *Percent' column (%) refers to percent of total estimated population, based on survey data.

*Count of individuals reflects the sum of each of the four 'Primary' insurance types (calculated; not reported in VTHHIS).

**Count of individuals reflects the sum of insured + uninsured (calculated; not reported in VTHHIS).

	Addison			Bennington			Caledonia		
%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
93%	33,681	+/-297	92%	34,140	+/-398	94%	27,987	+/-281	91%
68%	24,472	+/-546	67%	23,192	+/-653	64%	18,428	+/-613	60%
53%	19,022	+/-582	52%	16,232	+/-731	45%	13,862	+/-603	45%
38%	14,048	+/-532	38%	17,301	+/-706	48%	13,353	+/-517	43%
21%	8,247	+/-467	23%	9,685	+/-642	27%	8,144	+/-492	26%
18%	6,149	+/-175	17%	7,667	+/-232	21%	5,877	+/-194	19%
4%	1,375	+/-163	4%	1,383	+/-174	4%	1,596	+/-184	5%
24%	8,876	+/-504	24%	10,888	+/-690	30%	8,835	+/-529	29%
17%	6,746	+/-448	18%	8,128	+/-638	22%	6,434	+/-480	21%
3%	794	+/-142	2%	1,024	+/-198	3%	1,140	+/-190	4%
7%	2,950	+/-294	8%	2,189	+/-380	6%	2,750	+/-263	9%
	36,631	+/-43		36,329	+/-128		30,737	+/-92	

ive-year estimates.

that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of conf

4 and 65 years and older. MOE has been calculated per guidance from the U.S. Census Bureau; see furth

Chittenden			Essex			Franklin			Grand
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals
147,435	+/-782	94%	5,576	+/-106	89%	44,092	+/-449	93%	6,561
121,006	+/-1,619	77%	3,464	+/-150	55%	32,378	+/-813	68%	4,887
101,986	+/-1,635	65%	2,381	+/-150	38%	25,538	+/-830	54%	3,655
43,401	+/-1,394	28%	3,104	+/-122	49%	17,566	+/-775	37%	2,721
23,063	+/-1,238	15%	1,746	+/-134	28%	10,109	+/-746	21%	1,516
21,038	+/-417	13%	1,581	+/-53	25%	7,167	+/-265	15%	1,191
4,352	+/-412	3%	436	+/-72	7%	1,248	+/-223	3%	208
26,293	+/-1,372	17%	1,850	+/-131	29%	12,201	+/-787	26%	1,741
18,469	+/-1,202	12%	1,275	+/-120	20%	8,769	+/-751	18%	1,303
2,990	+/-372	2%	255	+/-42	4%	1,460	+/-195	3%	125
9,090	+/-756	6%	700	+/-106	11%	3,375	+/-438	7%	423
156,525	+/-176		6,276	+/-13		47,467	+/-129		6,984

idence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90

er details in 'Technical Notes'.

and Isle		Lamoille			Orange			Orleans		
MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
+/-79	94%	21,976	+/-377	90%	26,499	+/-296	92%	23,987	+/-262	90%
+/-222	70%	15,495	+/-611	63%	18,931	+/-549	66%	14,411	+/-504	54%
+/-213	52%	12,295	+/-598	50%	14,105	+/-541	49%	10,263	+/-466	39%
+/-214	39%	9,371	+/-540	38%	11,886	+/-478	41%	13,378	+/-458	50%
+/-212	22%	5,803	+/-477	24%	6,544	+/-452	23%	8,142	+/-491	31%
+/-55	17%	4,096	+/-198	17%	5,326	+/-135	18%	6,016	+/-200	23%
+/-48	3%	1,286	+/-215	5%	1,173	+/-135	4%	1,683	+/-218	6%
+/-221	25%	6,137	+/-539	25%	7,540	+/-481	26%	8,890	+/-445	33%
+/-204	19%	4,477	+/-449	18%	5,237	+/-422	18%	6,353	+/-421	24%
+/-40	2%	602	+/-139	2%	844	+/-140	3%	1,173	+/-153	4%
+/-79	6%	2,539	+/-354	10%	2,379	+/-291	8%	2,569	+/-226	10%
+/-13		24,515	+/-92		28,878	+/-27		26,556	+/-160	

percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the μ

Rutland			Washington			Windham			W
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals
55,826	+/-544	92%	54,856	+/-487	93%	40,160	+/-385	92%	51,409
39,451	+/-964	65%	41,908	+/-954	71%	28,137	+/-780	64%	38,291
28,661	+/-857	47%	32,994	+/-880	56%	20,921	+/-787	48%	28,130
26,216	+/-870	43%	20,738	+/-764	35%	18,777	+/-672	43%	22,465
14,024	+/-807	23%	11,345	+/-805	19%	10,650	+/-649	24%	10,814
12,035	+/-303	20%	10,071	+/-259	17%	8,482	+/-198	19%	11,926
2,411	+/-219	4%	2,553	+/-302	4%	1,523	+/-183	3%	2,254
16,333	+/-878	27%	12,539	+/-773	21%	11,742	+/-707	27%	12,545
11,418	+/-803	19%	8,604	+/-733	15%	8,941	+/-637	20%	8,242
1,937	+/-225	3%	1,388	+/-199	2%	1,108	+/-176	3%	1,780
4,761	+/-513	8%	4,038	+/-461	7%	3,652	+/-379	8%	4,132
60,587	+/-142		58,894	+/-169		43,812	+/-114		55,541

population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

indsor	
MOE +/-	%
+/-447	93%
+/-954	69%
+/-910	51%
+/-797	40%
+/-780	19%
+/-288	21%
+/-268	4%
+/-786	23%
+/-700	15%
+/-265	3%
+/-422	7%
+/-198	

A. General Population

9. Behavioral health by age and disability

State data

Universe (population): Total adult (≥ 18 years) population in Vermont

1. Behavioral Health Condition By Age Group - State Level				
Age Group Years*	Heavy or Binge Drinking		Poor Mental Health	
	Individuals	%	Individuals	%
18-64	85,392	23%	46,885	12%
65+	7,827	7%	5,824	5%
65-69	3,621	10%	1,742	5%
70-74	1,999	7%	1,840	7%
75-79	1,403	7%	664	3%
80+	804	4%	1,577	7%
Overall **	93,219	20%	52,709	11%

Data source: BRFSS 2015 data, variables _RFDRHV5 for heavy drinking (adult men >14 drinks/week; adult women >7 drinks per week); _RFBING5 for binge drinking (males having ≥ 5 drinks on one occasion, females having ≥ 4 drinks on one occasion); MENTHLTH for poor mental health ("mental health not good" for at least half the time in previous 30 days); _AGE65YR and _AGEG5YR for age

* The BRFSS survey does not collect data for <18 year old individuals.

Data reflect estimated numbers of individuals in each category in the state of Vermont, based on 6,489 responses to the BRFSS survey. Responses were weighed to estimate the total number of individuals in Vermont; see further details in Technical Notes.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of individuals in heavy/binge drinking or poor mental health category for each age category.

** 'Overall' row counts and 'Total' column counts do not include individuals in the categories "Don't know", "Refused" or "Missing" for age (67 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 5,017 or 1% of the total Vermont population), heavy drinking (319 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 27,964 individuals, or 5.5% of the total estimated Vermont population), binge drinking (307 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 26,924 individuals, or 5.3% of total estimated Vermont population), or poor mental health (97 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 6,555 individuals, or 1.3% of Vermont

2. Behavioral Health condition by Age Group and Disability Status - State Level				
Disability Status	Age Group Years*	Heavy or Binge Drinking only		Poor Mental Health
		No Poor Mental Health		No Heavy or Binge Drinking only
		Individuals	%	Individuals
Cognitive and/or Functional Disabilities	age 18-64	6,001	11%	19,475
	65+	880	3%	3,546
	65-69	72	1%	1,043
	70-74	88	2%	1,033
	75-79	248	5%	341
	80+	473	6%	1,129
	TOTAL	6,882	8%	23,021

Neither Cognitive nor Functional Disabilities	age 18-64	68,215	22%	14,764
	65+	6,521	9%	1,649
	65-69	3,460	12%	620
	70-74	1,665	8%	544
	75-79	1,065	8%	155
	80+	331	2%	331
TOTAL		74,736	19%	16,413
Overall **		81,618	17%	39,434

Data source: BRFSS 2015 data, variables _RFDRHV5 for heavy drinking (adult men >14 drinks/week; adult drinks on one occasion); MENTHLTH for poor mental health ("mental health not good" for at least half the (DIFFWALK, DIFFDRES, DIFFALON) for disability status; see further details in Technical Notes. The disability ACS data.

Data reflect estimated numbers of individuals in each category in the state of Vermont, based on 6,489 re details in Technical Notes.

* The BRFSS survey does not collect data for <18 year old individuals.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of individuals in heavy/binge drinking and mental health group for e

** 'Overall' row counts and 'Total' column counts do not include individuals in the 'Don't know/not sure', 'l weighted equivalent of 14,213-17,613 or 3% of the total Vermont population; data missing for all four que and/or for heavy drinking (319 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 27,964 individuals, or 5.5% of the individuals, or 5.3% of the total estimated Vermont population), and/or poor mental health (97 survey res individuals who had data available for all four variables (cognitive difficulty and at least one of three funct 453 survey responses (weighted equivalent of 37,309 individuals or 37,309 or 7.4% of the total Vermont p

Mental Health and Binge Drinking	Both Heavy or Binge Drinking and Poor Mental Health		Neither Heavy or Binge Drinking nor Poor Mental Health	
	Individuals	%	Individuals	%
35%	4,168	7%	25,652	46%
13%	71	0%	22,257	85%
14%	-	0%	6,426	84%
19%	41	1%	4,560	82%
7%	30	1%	4,774	92%
14%	-	0%	6,496	82%
28%	4,238	5%	47,909	58%

5%	5,507	2%	219,930	71%
2%	97	0%	66,719	88%
2%	-	0%	24,043	85%
3%	97	0%	17,880	88%
1%	-	0%	12,051	89%
2%	-	0%	12,746	93%
4%	5,604	1%	286,649	74%
8%	9,843	2%	334,558	71%

women >7 drinks per week); _RFBING5 for binge drinking (males having ≥ 5 drinks on one or more occasions in previous 30 days); _AGE65YR and _AGEG5YR for age groups; Cognitive Difficulty (10 categories were constructed using survey questions that are identical to those used to con-

struct the BRFSS survey. Responses were weighed to estimate the total number of ind-

viduals in each age and disability category.

'Refused' or 'Missing' categories for individual disability questions (149-188 survey responses for 125 survey respondents, a weighted equivalent of 12,849 individuals, or 2.5% of total estimated Vermont population), and/or binge drinking (307 survey responses, weighted equivalent of 6,555 individuals, or 1.3% of total estimated Vermont population); data was missing for cognitive difficulty questions, age, heavy or binge drinking, mental health days; data was missing for total population).

Total respondents **	
Individuals in age and disability category with heavy/binge drinking and mental health data	
Individuals	
	56,181
	26,326
	7,614
	5,581
	5,170
	7,961
	82,507

	310,735
	75,933
	28,292
	20,404
	13,501
	13,736
	386,667
	469,174

≥ occasion, females having ≥4
 DECIDE) and Functional Difficulty
 construct our disability variable for

individuals in Vermont; see further

res for each of the four questions;
 of the total Vermont population),
 weighted equivalent of 26,924
 relation). Data is presented for
 using for one or more variables for

B. Older adults (65 years and older)

1. Disability

State and County data

Universe (population): Total population aged 65 years and older, for whom disabilities are asked and

B1: Disability type for adults aged 65 years and older				
Age group	Disability type	Vermont		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%
65 years and older	Cognitive Difficulty only	1,310	+/-213	1%
	Functional Difficulty only	14,530	+/-587	16%
	Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	5,960	+/-462	7%
	No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	69,165	+/-1174	76%
	TOTAL population for whom disabilities are asked poverty status is determined	90,970	+/-1329	

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), 2009-. 'Individuals' refers to estimated number of individuals, based on Census data. Values have been calculated. A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision

'Percent' column (%) refers to percent of 'Total' population (aged 65 years and older, for whom disabilities are asked and

¹ poverty status is determined.

Addison			Bennington			Caledonia			Chittenden
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals
94	+/-45	2%	68	+/-78	1%	104	+/-61	2%	185
765	+/-107	15%	1,100	+/-162	16%	860	+/-135	18%	2,860
435	+/-102	8%	220	+/-74	3%	545	+/-131	11%	1,210
3,965	+/-212	76%	5,305	+/-348	79%	3,320	+/-255	69%	13,565
5,250	+/-248		6,690	+/-376		4,830	+/-301		17,820

2013 five-year estimates. For further information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.

lated by summing estimated number of individuals in individual poverty category (earning <100%, 100-200%

measure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level

ilities are asked and poverty status is determined).

Andover		Essex			Franklin			Grand Isle		
MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
+/-91	1%	26	+/-31	2%	83	+/-51	1%	18	+/-26	2%
+/-334	16%	235	+/-43	18%	1,055	+/-165	18%	159	+/-49	15%
+/-235	7%	83	+/-33	6%	375	+/-99	6%	53	+/-36	5%
+/-643	76%	935	+/-84	73%	4,280	+/-299	74%	824	+/-92	78%
+/-720		1,280 +/-103			5,785 +/-344			1,054 +/-97		

0% or >200% of the federal poverty level), and for household size categories ('living alone' and 'living in household of confidence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can

Lamoille			Orange			Orleans			Rutla
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals
29	+/-38	1%	97	+/-58	2%	65	+/-45	1%	170
445	+/-113	14%	735	+/-129	17%	890	+/-118	19%	1,570
119	+/-57	4%	304	+/-96	7%	385	+/-110	8%	620
2,700	+/-269	82%	3,240	+/-205	74%	3,425	+/-222	72%	7,670
3,295	+/-284		4,375	+/-257		4,770	+/-261		10,020

olds with two or more individuals'). MOEs have been calculated per guidance from the U.S. Census Bureau, be 90 percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example,

nd		Washington			Windham			Windsor		
MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
+/-69	2%	52	+/-44	1%	150	+/-94	2%	158	+/-89	2%
+/-198	16%	1,205	+/-174	14%	1,210	+/-169	17%	1,440	+/-202	14%
+/-118	6%	475	+/-123	6%	400	+/-115	6%	745	+/-196	7%
+/-409	77%	6,720	+/-421	79%	5,495	+/-353	76%	7,705	+/-463	77%
+/-454		8,455	+/-473		7,260	+/-411		10,055	+/-518	

; see further details in 'Technical Notes'.

, contains the population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

B. Older adults (65 years and older)

2. Income

State and County data

Universe (population): Total population aged 65 years and older, for whom poverty status is determined.

B2: Poverty level (FPL***) for adults aged 65 years or older, by age group							
Age group (years)	Poverty Level (FPL)	Vermont			Addison		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
65-74*	<100% FPL	3,357	+/-267	6%	145	+/-38	5%
	≥ 100% FPL	49,255	+/-302	94%	2,922	+/-48	95%
	Total population for whom poverty is determined **	52,612	+/-403		3,067	+/-61	
75-84	<100% FPL	2,182	+/-253	8%	166	+/-68	10%
	≥ 100% FPL	26,175	+/-565	92%	1,436	+/-99	90%
	Total population for whom poverty is determined **	28,357	+/-619		1,602	+/-120	
85+	<100% FPL	1,333	+/-233	12%	59	+/-29	9%
	≥ 100% FPL	9,491	+/-460	88%	578	+/-78	91%
	Total population for whom poverty is determined **	10,824	+/-516		637	+/-83	

Data source: ACS publicly available data tables B17001 and B17020; 2009-2013 five-year estimates. For further information, 'Individuals' refers to estimated number of individuals, based on Census data.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure

'Percent' column (%) refers to percent of estimated total number of individuals in age category (below, and at

*Sum of males and females (as reported in ACS table B17001). MOEs have been calculated per guidance from

**Sum of poverty categories: '<100% FPL' and '≥100% FPL'. MOEs have been calculated per guidance from the

***FPL, Federal Poverty Level, determined using household income during the past 12 months. The ACS does not

Bennington			Caledonia			Chittenden			
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals
182	+/-77	5%	171	+/-62	6%	467	+/-118	5%	49
3,447	+/-93	95%	2,550	+/-66	94%	9,665	+/-151	95%	711
3,629	+/-121		2,721	+/-90		10,132	+/-192		760
161	+/-88	7%	95	+/-41	6%	356	+/-139	6%	53
2,096	+/-164	93%	1,400	+/-110	94%	5,155	+/-284	94%	316
2,257	+/-186		1,495	+/-117		5,511	+/-316		369
59	+/-46	7%	146	+/-85	22%	349	+/-157	15%	24
781	+/-125	93%	523	+/-97	78%	2,010	+/-218	85%	133
840	+/-133		669	+/-129		2,359	+/-269		157

For information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.

that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence of 95 percent (or above poverty level).

from the U.S. Census Bureau; see further details in 'Technical Notes'.

from the U.S. Census Bureau; see further details in 'Technical Notes'.

do not determine poverty status for people living in institutional group quarters (such as prisons or nursing homes).

Essex		Franklin			Grand Isle			Lamoille		
MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
+/-18	6%	252	+/-87	7%	14	+/-11	2%	125	+/-58	6%
+/-21	94%	3,146	+/-96	93%	670	+/-12	98%	1,868	+/-58	94%
+/-28		3,398	+/-130		684	+/-16		1,993	+/-81	
+/-22	14%	145	+/-59	7%	10	+/-10	4%	77	+/-58	8%
+/-32	86%	1,804	+/-136	93%	261	+/-33	96%	919	+/-132	92%
+/-39		1,949	+/-148		271	+/-34		996	+/-144	
+/-15	15%	52	+/-37	11%	0	+/-13	0%	40	+/-23	13%
+/-29	85%	421	+/-86	89%	104	+/-26	100%	279	+/-93	87%
+/-33		473	+/-94		104	+/-29		319	+/-96	

dence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90

omes), college dormitories, military barracks, or in situations without conventional housing (and who are not i

Orange			Orleans			Rutland			Wa		
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals		
172	+/-60	7%	291	+/-82	10%	502	+/-114	9%	234		
2,472	+/-71	93%	2,522	+/-98	90%	5,374	+/-120	91%	4,622		
2,644	+/-93		2,813	+/-128		5,876	+/-165		4,856		
163	+/-58	13%	166	+/-56	12%	239	+/-71	7%	166		
1,136	+/-102	87%	1,207	+/-102	88%	2,951	+/-156	93%	2,567		
1,299	+/-117		1,373	+/-116		3,190	+/-171		2,733		
94	+/-62	18%	94	+/-41	15%	99	+/-44	9%	90		
421	+/-85	82%	521	+/-86	85%	973	+/-131	91%	864		
515	+/-105		615	+/-95		1,072	+/-138		954		

percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains

in shelters), or for unrelated individuals under age 15 (such as foster children). These individuals are excluded

shington		Windham			Windsor		
MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
+/-83	5%	392	+/-113	9%	361	+/-113	6%
+/-97	95%	3,828	+/-126	91%	5,458	+/-133	94%
+/-127		4,220	+/-169		5,819	+/-175	
+/-67	6%	131	+/-64	6%	254	+/-67	8%
+/-192	94%	2,037	+/-160	94%	2,890	+/-193	92%
+/-203		2,168	+/-172		3,144	+/-204	
+/-38	9%	84	+/-55	9%	143	+/-74	12%
+/-172	91%	842	+/-151	91%	1,041	+/-183	88%
+/-176		926	+/-161		1,184	+/-197	

ains the population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

ded from the 'Total' count.

B. Older adults (65 years and older)

3. Household Size

State and County data

Universe (population): Total population aged 65 years and older, for whom disabilities are asked and poverty status is determined

B3: Household Size by Age Group					
Age group	Household size*	Vermont			Actual
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	
65 years and older	1 (living alone)	27,370	+/-846	30%	1,285
	2 or more	63,600	+/-1024	70%	3,965
	Total population for whom disabilities are asked & poverty status is determined	90,970	+/-1329		5,250

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), 2009-2013
'Individuals' refers to estimated number of individuals, based on Census data. Values were calculated by summing the number of individuals in each household size category.
A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure.

'Percent' column (%) refers to percent of 'Total' population (aged 65 years and older, for whom disabilities are asked and poverty status is determined).

* Household size excludes unrelated individuals under 15 years of age present in household.

ty status is determined

Idison		Bennington				Caledonia			Chittenden		
MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	
+/-139	24%	2,055	+/-262	31%	1,565	+/-184	32%	5,695	+/-455	32%	
+/-205	76%	4,635	+/-269	69%	3,265	+/-237	68%	12,125	+/-558	68%	
+/-248		6,690 +/-376				4,830 +/-301			17,820 +/-720		

five-year estimates. For further information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.

mming estimated number of individuals in each individual poverty category (earning <100%, 100-200% o
ure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of cc

are asked and poverty status is determined).

Essex			Franklin			Grand Isle			Lamoille		
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
390	+/-57	30%	1,535	+/-192	27%	245	+/-52	23%	1,100	+/-179	23%
890	+/-86	70%	4,250	+/-285	73%	809	+/-82	77%	2,195	+/-220	73%
1,280	+/-103		5,785	+/-344		1,054	+/-97		3,295	+/-284	

(for those with income less than 200% of the federal poverty level). MOEs have been calculated per guidance from the U.S. Census Bureau; see the U.S. Census Bureau's guidance on the use of MOEs for confidence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 percent confident that the true population is between 80 and 120.

	Orange			Orleans			Rutland			Washir
%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals
33%	1,120	+/-130	26%	1,450	+/-142	30%	2,950	+/-258	29%	2,675
67%	3,255	+/-222	74%	3,320	+/-219	70%	7,070	+/-373	71%	5,780
	4,375	+/-257		4,770	+/-261		10,020	+/-454		8,455

See further details in 'Technical Notes'.

90 percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the true value.

ngton		Windham			Windsor		
MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
+/-272	32%	2,370	+/-216	33%	2,920	+/-294	29%
+/-386	68%	4,890	+/-349	67%	7,135	+/-427	71%
+/-473		7,260	+/-411		10,055	+/-518	

ins the population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

B. Older adults (65 years and older)

4. Home ownership vs. renting

State and County data

Universe (population): Housing units (households) where householder is aged 65 years or older.

B4: House Ownership (Tenure) by Age of Householder (adults aged 65 years and older)					
Age of householder (years)	House ownership (tenure)	Vermont		Addison	
		Households	%	Households	%
65-74	Renters	5,291	17%	244	14%
	Owners	26,133	83%	1,522	86%
75-84	Renters	4,499	23%	209	20%
	Owners	14,957	77%	820	80%
85+	Renters	3,046	36%	132	28%
	Owners	5,301	64%	341	72%
Total # of households with householder aged ≥65 years	Renters	12,836	22%	585	18%
	Owners	46,391	78%	2,683	82%

Data source: ACS public data / Census Bureau 2010 data; Summary File 1, Tables H4, H16, and H17.

'Households' refers to estimated number of total households, based on census data.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of total number of households.

These estimates do not have margins of errors (MOEs) associated with them.

Bennington		Caledonia		Chittenden		Essex		Franklin	
Households	%	Households	%	Households	%	Households	%	Households	
378	17%	261	16%	1,135	19%	68	15%	327	
1,816	83%	1,369	84%	4,862	81%	396	85%	1,675	
330	22%	230	22%	1,097	28%	56	20%	326	
1,195	78%	834	78%	2,758	72%	227	80%	924	
258	38%	151	32%	811	50%	12	14%	140	
430	63%	324	68%	825	50%	75	86%	306	
966	22%	642	20%	3,043	26%	136	16%	793	
3,441	78%	2,527	80%	8,445	74%	698	84%	2,905	

	Grand Isle		Lamoille		Orange		Orleans	
%	Households	%	Households	%	Households	%	Households	%
16%	31	8%	178	15%	197	13%	248	15%
84%	362	92%	1,022	85%	1,346	87%	1,431	85%
26%	23	12%	162	23%	139	16%	195	20%
74%	164	88%	530	77%	746	84%	767	80%
31%	7	14%	94	35%	99	29%	130	30%
69%	42	86%	177	65%	248	71%	302	70%
21%	61	10%	434	20%	435	16%	573	19%
79%	568	90%	1,729	80%	2,340	84%	2,500	81%

Rutland		Washington		Windham		Windsor	
Households	%	Households	%	Households	%	Households	%
707	20%	520	18%	488	19%	509	15%
2,866	80%	2,427	82%	2,038	81%	3,001	85%
554	25%	421	23%	343	22%	414	20%
1,696	75%	1,406	77%	1,187	78%	1,703	80%
335	35%	328	40%	226	33%	323	33%
622	65%	489	60%	453	67%	667	67%
1,596	24%	1,269	23%	1,057	22%	1,246	19%
5,184	76%	4,322	77%	3,678	78%	5,371	81%

B. Older adults (65 years and older)

5. Percent of household income spent on housing

State and County data

Universe (population): Occupied housing units (households) containing at least one person aged 65 years or

B5: Percent of Income Spent on Housing by House Ownership and Age Group (adults 65+)						
Age of householder (years)*	House ownership (tenure)	Vermont		Addison		Benn
		%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%
65-74	Renters	30%	+/-1.3	23%	+/-5.3	35%
	Owners with mortgage	28%	+/-0.8	27%	+/-3.3	26%
	Owners without mortgage	17%	+/-0.6	17%	+/-1.3	15%
75-84	Renters	33%	+/-1.5	29%	+/-5.2	33%
	Owners with mortgage	33%	+/-1.6	25%	+/-3.5	32%
	Owners without mortgage	21%	+/-0.8	24%	+/-1.8	20%
85+	Renters	35%	+/-4.6	24%	+/-16.8	27%
	Owners with mortgage	31%	+/-3.7	24%	+/-2.7	31%
	Owners without mortgage	25%	+/-1.7	20%	+/-4.7	27%
Total # of households with at least 1 person aged ≥65 years	Renters	32%	+/-1.2	26%	+/-3.3	31%
	Owners with mortgage	29%	+/-0.7	26%	+/-2.3	28%
	Owners without mortgage	19%	+/-0.4	20%	+/-1.4	19%

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), 2009-2013 five-year estimates. 'Percent' (%) refers to median (average) share of monthly owner costs as a proportion of household income. A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure that indicates the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the population value.

*Age group of householder:

65-74	Household with at least 1 person 65 to 74 years, no one 75 years and over
75-84	Household with at least 1 person 75 to 84 years, no one 85 years and over
85+	Household with at least 1 person 85 years and over
TOTAL	Households with at least 1 person aged 65 years and over

Notes for 'Estimates'

An '-' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '+' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '-' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to calculate a median.

Notes for 'MOE'

An '*' entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to calculate a margin of error.

An '**' entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

older (not necessarily householder).

ington	Caledonia		Chittenden		Essex		Franklin		Grand Isle	
MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-
+/-11.6	26%	+/-5.1	32%	+/-4.0	28%	+/-4.5	26%	+/-4.8	-	*
+/-3.0	27%	+/-2.7	27%	+/-1.8	34%	+/-6.1	29%	+/-3.3	36%	+/-9.1
+/-2.0	18%	+/-1.4	15%	+/-1.5	16%	+/-2.1	15%	+/-1.8	16%	+/-3.2
+/-4.9	28%	+/-9.9	38%	+/-9.7	42%	+/-11.1	42%	+/-15.8	34%	+/-42.1
+/-8.9	29%	+/-5.5	35%	+/-4.4	37%	+/-6.6	34%	+/-8.2	40%	+/-30.7
+/-2.4	20%	+/-1.8	17%	+/-1.8	22%	+/-3.2	20%	+/-1.7	24%	+/-5.1
+/-2.4	48%	+/-13.1	45%	+/-6.1	10.0%	-**	29%	+/-8.3	-	*
+/-6.1	34%	+/-9.4	35%	+/-12.6	-	*	21%	+/-26.0	21%	+/-8.5
+/-8.1	27%	+/-9.8	27%	+/-6.7	21%	+/-10.7	23%	+/-2.9	19%	+/-2.7
+/-4.1	29%	+/-4.7	38%	+/-3.7	32%	+/-6.4	34%	+/-5.3	29%	+/-3.4
+/-2.4	28%	+/-2.7	29%	+/-1.6	34%	+/-4.4	30%	+/-3.3	30%	+/-7.2
+/-1.3	19%	+/-1.2	17%	+/-1.4	18%	+/-1.6	18%	+/-1.3	19%	+/-2.9

12-month estimates. For further information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.

(for owners), or percent of monthly median gross rent as a percentage of household income (renters).

range that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence. See more information in Technical Notes.

ion (e.g. less than 10 percent).

on (e.g. 50 percent or more).

tions were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or

observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.

Lamoille		Orange		Orleans		Rutland		Washington	
%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-
38%	+/-23.8	32%	+/-4.2	43%	+/-7.9	30%	+/-2.9	27%	+/-3.6
29%	+/-8.5	28%	+/-1.6	30%	+/-3.1	26%	+/-3.1	30%	+/-3.1
19%	+/-3.0	15%	+/-1.8	18%	+/-2.1	18%	+/-1.8	15%	+/-1.2
32%	+/-4.6	36%	+/-3.4	34%	+/-10.0	30%	+/-7.0	33%	+/-2.4
31%	+/-23.0	33%	+/-2.2	35%	+/-4.5	44%	+/-8.7	35%	+/-10.2
25%	+/-5.7	21%	+/-2.4	24%	+/-4.5	19%	+/-1.8	24%	+/-3.9
50.0% +	**	50.0% +	**	35%	+/-14.0	29%	+/-5.6	26%	+/-8.1
33%	+/-20.0	24%	+/-4.3	42%	+/-15.2	47%	+/-38.0	22%	+/-3.9
33%	+/-15.8	20%	+/-2.8	28%	+/-5.3	28%	+/-4.2	24%	+/-4.5
34%	+/-7.7	37%	+/-6.2	38%	+/-4.9	30%	+/-2.5	30%	+/-2.9
31%	+/-5.4	29%	+/-1.8	32%	+/-2.5	29%	+/-2.8	30%	+/-2.9
22%	+/-1.9	18%	+/-1.3	21%	+/-2.1	20%	+/-1.3	19%	+/-1.1

confidence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 percent confident that the true population estimate is between 80 and 120.

both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

not appropriate.

Edham	Windsor	
MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-
+/-6.7	29%	+/-2.7
+/-3.7	28%	+/-2.5
+/-1.8	18%	+/-1.4
+/-4.5	31%	+/-2.9
+/-2.9	34%	+/-10.5
+/-3.3	21%	+/-2.5
+/-6.5	25%	+/-4.3
+/-22.5	20%	+/-15.9
+/-3.1	27%	+/-3.7
+/-3.1	29%	+/-2.4
+/-2.8	29%	+/-2.4
+/-1.7	21%	+/-1.4

be 90 percent certain that

B. Older adults (65 years and older)

6. Health Insurance Coverage

State and County data

Universe (population): Total population aged 65 years and older.

B6: Health Insurance Coverage and Payer by Age Group (adults 65+)				
Age group (years)	Insurance Coverage and Payer	Vermont		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%
65-74	With Health Insurance Coverage (insured)	52,950	+/-165	99.7%
	Public	16,935	+/-638	32%
	Private	1,550	+/-231	3%
	Public and Private	34,460	+/-603	65%
	Without Health Insurance Coverage (uninsured)	135	+/-46	0.3%
	TOTAL (insured + uninsured)	53,085	+/-162	
75-84	With Health Insurance Coverage (insured)	29,520	+/-488	99.9%
	Public	9,435	+/-504	32%
	Private	165	+/-63	1%
	Public and Private	19,920	+/-548	67%
	Without Health Insurance Coverage (uninsured)	40	+/-39	0.1%
	TOTAL (insured + uninsured)	29,560	+/-486	
85+	With Health Insurance Coverage (insured)	12,415	+/-467	99.9%
	Public	4,695	+/-296	38%
	Private	55	+/-39	0%
	Public and Private	7,665	+/-456	62%
	Without Health Insurance Coverage (uninsured)	15	+/-18	0.1%
	TOTAL (insured + uninsured)	12,430	+/-469	
TOTAL (all adults aged ≥65 years)	With Health Insurance Coverage (insured)	94,885	+/-179	99.8%
	Public	31,065	+/-921	33%
	Private	1,775	+/-238	2%
	Public and Private	62,045	+/-888	65%
	Without Health Insurance Coverage (uninsured)	195	+/-70	0.2%
	TOTAL (insured + uninsured)	95,080	+/-166	

Population: Data are estimates for the population aged 65 years and over.

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), 2009-2013.

'Individuals' refers to estimated number of individuals, based on Census data.

'Percent' column (%) refers to percent of estimated total number of individuals (insured + uninsured).

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision

Addison			Bennington			Caledonia			Chittenden		
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
3,060	+/-30	99.7%	3,720	+/-37	100.0%	2,710	+/-28	99.4%	10,195	+/-105	99.9%
940	+/-115	31%	1,125	+/-154	30%	1,220	+/-129	45%	2,995	+/-115	31%
65	+/-28	2%	160	+/-68	4%	70	+/-43	3%	320	+/-105	99.9%
2,055	+/-117	67%	2,435	+/-153	65%	1,420	+/-142	52%	6,885	+/-225	67%
4	+/-10	0.1%	0	+/-20	0.0%	10	+/-16	0.4%	10	+/-10	0.1%
3,070	+/-27		3,720	+/-37		2,725	+/-26		10,205	+/-105	
1,665	+/-82	100.0%	2,440	+/-145	100.0%	1,530	+/-117	98.7%	5,730	+/-185	98.7%
540	+/-101	32%	720	+/-109	30%	625	+/-112	40%	1,520	+/-101	32%
15	+/-19	1%	0	+/-20	0%	0	+/-20	0%	50	+/-19	1%
1,105	+/-107	66%	1,720	+/-147	70%	905	+/-102	58%	4,155	+/-107	66%
0	+/-20	0.0%	0	+/-20	0.0%	20	+/-37	1.3%	4	+/-20	0.0%
1,665	+/-82		2,440	+/-145		1,550	+/-116		5,730	+/-185	
700	+/-81	100.0%	970	+/-140	99.5%	740	+/-115	100.0%	2,695	+/-81	100.0%
220	+/-70	31%	260	+/-78	27%	335	+/-91	45%	870	+/-70	31%
4	+/-7	1%	0	+/-20	0%	4	+/-20	1%	10	+/-7	1%
475	+/-73	68%	710	+/-122	73%	400	+/-93	54%	1,815	+/-73	68%
0	+/-20	0.0%	4	+/-20	0.4%	0	+/-20	0.0%	0	+/-20	0.0%
700	+/-81		975	+/-141		740	+/-115		2,695	+/-81	
5,425	+/-28	99.9%	7,135	+/-42	100.0%	4,980	+/-51	99.4%	18,620	+/-51	99.4%
1,700	+/-155	31%	2,105	+/-204	30%	2,180	+/-171	44%	5,385	+/-155	31%
85	+/-35	2%	160	+/-68	2%	70	+/-43	1%	380	+/-35	2%
3,640	+/-154	67%	4,865	+/-196	68%	2,725	+/-192	54%	12,855	+/-154	67%
4	+/-10	0.1%	4	+/-20	0.1%	35	+/-38	0.7%	10	+/-10	0.1%
5,430	+/-27		7,135	+/-44		5,010	+/-28		18,630	+/-51	

2013 five-year estimates. For further information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.

in each age category.

measure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence

Nittenden		Essex			Franklin			Grand Isle	
MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-
+/-94	99.9%	755	+/-16	99.3%	3,445	+/-47	99.7%	685	+/-12
+/-257	29%	340	+/-51	45%	1,045	+/-144	30%	160	+/-41
+/-109	3%	4	+/-14	1%	140	+/-94	4%	35	+/-25
+/-259	67%	410	+/-50	54%	2,260	+/-162	65%	490	+/-48
+/-16	0.1%	4	+/-3	0.5%	10	+/-13	0.3%	4	+/-2
+/-93		760	+/-14		3,455	+/-47		685	+/-5
+/-249	100.0%	370	+/-29	100.0%	2,035	+/-114	100.0%	270	+/-35
+/-257	27%	170	+/-30	46%	590	+/-115	29%	65	+/-28
+/-62	1%	0	+/-13	0%	0	+/-20	0%	4	+/-4
+/-280	73%	200	+/-33	54%	1,445	+/-151	71%	200	+/-32
+/-7	0.1%	0	+/-13	0.0%	4	+/-6	0.2%	0	+/-13
+/-250		370	+/-29		2,035	+/-112		270	+/-35
+/-242	100.0%	155	+/-32	100.0%	560	+/-109	100.0%	105	+/-27
+/-192	32%	50	+/-22	32%	250	+/-88	45%	30	+/-19
+/-20	0%	0	+/-13	0%	0	+/-20	0%	0	+/-13
+/-228	67%	105	+/-28	68%	310	+/-71	55%	70	+/-29
+/-22	0.0%	0	+/-13	0.0%	0	+/-20	0.0%	0	+/-13
+/-242		155	+/-32		560	+/-109		105	+/-27
+/-108	99.9%	1,285	+/-18	100.0%	6,040	+/-50	99.8%	1,060	+/-24
+/-418	29%	560	+/-58	44%	1,885	+/-198	31%	260	+/-49
+/-122	2%	4	+/-14	0%	140	+/-94	2%	35	+/-26
+/-415	69%	715	+/-61	56%	4,015	+/-210	66%	765	+/-59
+/-14	0.1%	4	+/-3	0.3%	0	+/-20	0.0%	4	+/-2
+/-108		1,285	+/-17		6,050	+/-49		1,060	+/-21

confidence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be

	Lamoille			Orange			Orleans		
%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
100.0%	1,985	+/-42	99.5%	2,650	+/-25	99.8%	2,845	+/-40	99.1%
23%	885	+/-167	44%	770	+/-118	29%	1,215	+/-127	42%
5%	45	+/-42	2%	85	+/-35	3%	80	+/-44	3%
72%	1,055	+/-167	53%	1,795	+/-112	68%	1,550	+/-112	54%
0.6%	4	+/-20	0.2%	4	+/-17	0.2%	20	+/-20	0.7%
	1,995	+/-40		2,655	+/-26		2,870	+/-36	
100.0%	1,050	+/-100	100.0%	1,320	+/-96	100.0%	1,465	+/-98	99.3%
24%	390	+/-96	37%	465	+/-88	35%	675	+/-99	46%
1%	10	+/-14	1%	15	+/-14	1%	10	+/-12	1%
74%	655	+/-115	62%	835	+/-98	63%	780	+/-102	53%
0.0%	0	+/-17	0.0%	0	+/-17	0.0%	10	+/-14	0.7%
	1,050	+/-100		1,320	+/-96		1,475	+/-96	
100.0%	345	+/-101	100.0%	540	+/-100	100.0%	685	+/-97	98.6%
29%	105	+/-46	30%	225	+/-79	42%	290	+/-69	42%
0%	0	+/-17	0%	20	+/-27	4%	0	+/-17	0%
67%	240	+/-87	70%	300	+/-72	56%	390	+/-79	56%
0.0%	0	+/-17	0.0%	4	+/-2	0.7%	10	+/-16	1.4%
	345	+/-101		540	+/-100		695	+/-97	
100.0%	3,385	+/-42	99.9%	4,510	+/-26	99.9%	4,995	+/-55	99.1%
25%	1,380	+/-199	41%	1,460	+/-146	32%	2,180	+/-160	43%
3%	50	+/-54	1%	120	+/-38	3%	90	+/-44	2%
72%	1,950	+/-199	58%	2,930	+/-145	65%	2,725	+/-152	54%
0.4%	4	+/-20	0.1%	4	+/-4	0.1%	45	+/-35	0.9%
	3,390	+/-41		4,515	+/-25		5,040	+/-39	

90 percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, cont

Rutland			Washington			Windham			V
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals
5,880	+/-46	99.6%	4,895	+/-50	99.7%	4,250	+/-41	99.9%	5,865
1,760	+/-217	30%	1,575	+/-195	32%	1,205	+/-160	28%	1,710
145	+/-87	2%	125	+/-57	3%	110	+/-42	3%	165
3,970	+/-206	67%	3,195	+/-191	65%	2,935	+/-167	69%	3,995
30	+/-25	0.5%	15	+/-18	0.3%	4	+/-20	0.1%	15
5,905	+/-38		4,910	+/-47		4,255	+/-42		5,885
3,340	+/-157	100.0%	2,860	+/-171	99.8%	2,215	+/-156	100.0%	3,235
1,055	+/-155	32%	980	+/-183	34%	630	+/-138	28%	1,000
60	+/-39	2%	10	+/-16	0%	0	+/-20	0%	0
2,225	+/-204	67%	1,870	+/-185	65%	1,580	+/-152	71%	2,235
0	+/-22	0.0%	4	+/-22	0.1%	0	+/-20	0.0%	4
3,340	+/-157		2,865	+/-171		2,215	+/-156		3,240
1,360	+/-152	100.0%	1,180	+/-166	100.0%	1,005	+/-158	100.0%	1,380
620	+/-128	46%	610	+/-130	52%	275	+/-88	27%	550
20	+/-24	1%	4	+/-2	0%	0	+/-20	0%	0
720	+/-112	53%	565	+/-105	48%	735	+/-133	73%	825
0	+/-22	0.0%	0	+/-22	0.0%	0	+/-20	0.0%	0
1,360	+/-152		1,180	+/-166		1,005	+/-158		1,380
10,575	+/-58	99.7%	8,930	+/-52	99.8%	7,470	+/-42	100.0%	10,480
3,440	+/-274	32%	3,165	+/-281	35%	2,110	+/-212	28%	3,260
225	+/-102	2%	135	+/-58	2%	110	+/-42	1%	165
6,915	+/-284	65%	5,630	+/-286	63%	5,250	+/-209	70%	7,055
30	+/-25	0.3%	20	+/-18	0.2%	4	+/-20	0.1%	20
10,605	+/-51		8,950	+/-51		7,470	+/-42		10,500

ains the population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

Vindsor	
MOE +/-	%
+/-50	99.7%
+/-218	29%
+/-57	3%
+/-222	68%
+/-19	0.3%
+/-46	
+/-196	99.8%
+/-178	31%
+/-22	0%
+/-208	69%
+/-6	0.1%
+/-197	
+/-193	100.0%
+/-116	40%
+/-22	0%
+/-165	60%
+/-22	0.0%
+/-193	
+/-51	99.8%
+/-337	31%
+/-57	2%
+/-338	67%
+/-19	0.2%
+/-51	

B. Older adults

7. Obesity and diabetes

State data (Vermont)

Universe (population): Total adult (≥ 18 years) population in Vermont

1. Obesity Rates by Age Group						
Age Group Years*	Not Overweight/ Obese BMI 25-30		Overweight BMI 25-30		Obese BMI >30	
	Individuals	%	Individuals	%	Individuals	
18-64	149,469	42%	120,984	34%	88,220	
65 and older	36,362	35%	39,760	38%	27,952	
65-69	10,631	30%	13,477	38%	11,616	
70-74	8,502	32%	10,686	41%	7,182	
75-79	7,375	39%	6,768	35%	5,006	
80+	9,854	43%	8,830	39%	4,147	
Overall**	185,832	40%	160,744	35%	116,171	

Data source: BRFSS 2015 data, variables _BMI5CAT for weight groups (obesity); _AGE65YR and _AGEG5YR for age groups. Data reflect estimated numbers of individuals in each category in the state of Vermont, based on 6,489 response. Responses were weighed to estimate the total number of individuals in Vermont; see further details in Technical Appendix. BMI = Body Mass Index

*The BRFSS survey does not collect data for individuals less than 18 years of age.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of individuals in BMI/obesity category for each age category.

**'Overall' row counts and 'Total' column counts do not include individuals in the categories "Don't know", "Refused" survey responses; weighted equivalent of 5,017 or 1% of the total Vermont population) and/or obesity (481 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 42,742 or 8% of the total Vermont population). Data was missing for both age and obesity, for 41 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 3,281 or 0.6% of the total Vermont population).

2. Diabetes Rates by Age Group						
Age Group Years*	No Diabetes		Pre-diabetes		Diabetes**	
	Individuals	%	Individuals	%	Individuals	
18-64	364,628	94%	1,751	0.5%	22,512	
65 and older	87,694	80%	2,376	2%	18,888	
65-69	30,136	81%	380	1%	6,887	
70-74	22,587	82%	1,116	4%	3,726	
75-79	15,189	74%	353	2%	4,995	
80+	19,781	84%	526	2%	3,280	
Overall***	452,322	91%	4,127	1%	41,399	

Data source: BRFSS 2015 data, variable DIABETE3 for diabetes ("ever told you have diabetes"); _AGE65YR and _AGEG5YR for age groups. Data reflect estimated numbers of individuals in each category in the state of Vermont, based on 6,489 response. Responses were weighed to estimate the total number of individuals in Vermont; see further details in Technical Appendix.

* The BRFSS survey does not collect data for <18 year old individuals.

** 'Diabetes' count does not include individuals reporting diabetes during pregnancy.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of individuals in diabetes category in each age category.

***'Overall' row counts and 'Total' column counts do not include individuals in the categories "Don't know", "Refused", "No survey responses; weighted equivalent of 5,017 or 1% of the total Vermont population) and/or diabetes (8 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 693 or 0.1% of the total Vermont population), or individuals in the diabetes category "Yes, during pregnancy" (8 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 3,667 or 0.7% of total population). No respondents had data missing for both

3. Obesity and Diabetes Rates by Age Group						
Age Group Years*	Overweight or Obese only BMI ≥25, No diabetes		Diabetes** only Not Overweight/ Obese		Both Overweight/obese and Diabetes	
	Individuals	%	Individuals	%	Individuals	
18-64	186,529	52%	1,867	0.5%	19,361	
65+	50,378	49%	2,563	2%	14,976	
65-69	19,003	54%	775	2%	5,676	
70-74	13,526	52%	537	2%	3,120	
75-79	7,948	42%	659	3%	3,616	
80+	9,902	44%	592	3%	2,565	
Overall***	236,907	52%	4,430	1%	34,337	

Data source: BRFSS 2015 data, variables _BMI5CAT for weight groups (obesity); DIABETE3 for diabetes; _AGE65 for age groups. Data reflect estimated numbers of individuals in each category in the state of Vermont, based on 6,489 responses. Percent column (%) refers to percent of individuals in obesity/diabetes category for each age category. Data is presented for individuals who had no missing data for one or more variables for 557 survey responses (weighted equivalent of 48,185 individuals or 9.1% of total population).

* The BRFSS survey does not collect data for <18 year old individuals.

** 'Diabetes' count does not include individuals with pre-diabetes or diabetes during pregnancy.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of individuals in obesity/diabetes category for each age category.

*** 'Overall' row counts and 'Total' column counts do not include individuals in the categories "Don't know", "Refused", "No survey responses; weighted equivalent of 5,017 or 1% of the total Vermont population) and/or obesity 481 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 693 or 0.1% of the total Vermont population), or individuals in the obesity/diabetes category "Yes, during pregnancy" (8 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 3,667 or 0.7% of total population). Data is presented for individuals who had no missing data for one or more variables for 557 survey responses (weighted equivalent of 48,185 individuals or 9.1% of total population).

	Total Respondents** Individuals in age category with obesity data
%	Individuals
25%	358,673
27%	104,074
33%	35,723
27%	26,371
26%	19,150
18%	22,831
25%	462,747

ge groups.

es to the BRFSS survey.

Notes.

ised" or "Missing" for age (67

ey responses; weighted

survey responses (weighted

	Total Respondents*** Individuals in age category with diabetes data
%	Individuals
6%	388,891
17%	108,957
18%	37,404
14%	27,429
24%	20,537
14%	23,588
8%	497,848

AGEG5YR for age groups.

es to the BRFSS survey.

Notes.

fused" or "Missing" for age (67
 y responses; weighted
 pregnancy" (49 survey
 age and diabetes questions.

Age and	Neither Overweight/obese nor diabetes		Total respondents*** Individuals in age category with obesity and diabetes data
	%	Individuals	%
	5%	146,779	41%
	15%	32,692	32%
	16%	9,686	27%
	12%	7,590	29%
	19%	6,575	34%
	11%	8,842	39%
	7%	179,471	39%

YR and _AGEG5YR for age groups.
 s to the BRFSS survey. Responses were weighed to estimate the

fused" or "Missing" for age (67 survey responses; weighted
 valent of 42,742 or 8% of the total Vermont population), and/or
 ls in the diabetes category "Yes, during pregnancy" (49 survey
 data available for all three variables (age, obesity, diabetes); data
 5% of the total Vermont population).

C. Disabled Individuals

1. Income

State and County data

Universe (population): Total population for whom disabilities were asked and poverty status is determined.

C1: Income level (FPL) by disability type													
Disability type	Income level (FPL)	Vermont			Addison			Bennington			Caledonia		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty only <i>(ages 5 and older)</i>	<100%	3,740	+/-408	32%	210	+/-75	39%	300	+/-128	39%	285	+/-101	32%
	100-200%	3,180	+/-379	28%	120	+/-44	22%	215	+/-80	28%	310	+/-135	35%
	>200%	4,610	+/-424	40%	205	+/-60	38%	250	+/-91	33%	300	+/-97	34%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	11,530	+/-653		535	+/-109		765	+/-179		890	+/-204	
Functional Difficulty only <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	5,295	+/-305	18%	285	+/-72	17%	330	+/-109	15%	320	+/-98	19%
	100-200%	9,260	+/-503	31%	460	+/-110	28%	715	+/-148	33%	555	+/-116	34%
	>200%	15,040	+/-673	51%	890	+/-130	54%	1,100	+/-169	51%	785	+/-146	47%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	29,590	+/-858		1,635	+/-199		2,145	+/-240		1,655	+/-218	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	6,105	+/-456	32%	380	+/-110	33%	570	+/-169	44%	460	+/-118	32%
	100-200%	5,780	+/-479	30%	330	+/-89	28%	345	+/-94	27%	450	+/-120	32%
	>200%	7,395	+/-522	38%	445	+/-105	38%	390	+/-121	30%	510	+/-116	36%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	19,275	+/-796		1,160	+/-181		1,300	+/-212		1,420	+/-177	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	40,270	+/-1449	9%	2,000	+/-225	8%	2,465	+/-319	10%	2,130	+/-260	10%
	100-200%	68,185	+/-1679	15%	3,445	+/-345	14%	4,540	+/-447	18%	3,875	+/-373	19%
	>200%	333,500	+/-2371	75%	19,830	+/-472	78%	18,505	+/-551	73%	14,905	+/-546	71%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	441,955	+/-1424		25,280	+/-395		25,515	+/-398		20,905	+/-351	
TOTAL <i>(ages 5 and older; any disability status)</i>	<100%	64,710	+/-2051	11%	3,485	+/-350	11%	4,360	+/-459	13%	3,875	+/-414	14%
	100-200%	100,810	+/-2262	18%	5,115	+/-433	16%	6,770	+/-595	20%	6,040	+/-543	21%
	>200%	405,115	+/-2738	71%	24,000	+/-535	74%	22,415	+/-641	67%	18,595	+/-623	65%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	570,635	+/-297		32,605	+/-275		33,545	+/-215		28,505	+/-210	

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), 2009-2013 five-year estimates. For further information on data and variables used, 'Individuals' refers to estimated number of individuals, based on Census data.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value.

'Percent' column (%) refers to percent of 'Total' population within disability group (e.g. Cognitive Difficulty).

Note: 'TOTAL' row does not equal the sum of the disability and income level (FPL) subcategories. The 'TOTAL' row includes individuals aged 5 years and over.

Shaded cells show data for population aged 15 years and older only (15-17 for '5-17' age category), of whom 'functional difficulty' is asked by ACS (see below).

'Total' refers to the total number of individuals who were asked one or more of the ACS disability questions (5 years or older, or 15 years or older, see notes below). The ACS asks the 'Total' (individuals aged 5 years and older) does not equal the sum of individual categories.

Disability Category:

Population age restriction (individuals whom disability question/s are asked in ACS):

Cognitive Difficulty only	5 years and older (1 question)
Functional Difficulty only	15 years and older (1 question) and 5 years and older (2 questions)
Cognitive Difficulty & Functional Difficulty	15 years and older (that answered all three 'functional difficulty' questions)
No Cognitive Difficulty or Functional Difficulty	15 years and older (that answered all three 'functional difficulty' questions)
Total	5 years and older (that answered 1 or more of the four cognitive/functional difficulty ACS questions)

FPL, Federal Poverty Level, determined using household income during the past 12 months. The ACS does not determine poverty status for people living in institutional group quar

Chittenden			Essex			Franklin			Grand Isle			Lamoille			Orange		
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
695	+/-218	31%	25	+/-15	31%	180	+/-79	23%	4	+/-3	3%	150	+/-105	50%	185	+/-95	30%
500	+/-149	22%	35	+/-18	44%	185	+/-72	24%	75	+/-61	60%	60	+/-40	20%	150	+/-47	25%
1,035	+/-231	46%	20	+/-13	25%	415	+/-109	53%	45	+/-18	36%	85	+/-46	28%	275	+/-78	45%
2,235	+/-334		80	+/-24		780	+/-142		125	+/-63		300	+/-105		610	+/-119	
795	+/-204	15%	130	+/-40	24%	470	+/-110	19%	20	+/-14	7%	200	+/-65	20%	285	+/-75	19%
1,400	+/-235	26%	190	+/-46	36%	1,105	+/-192	44%	90	+/-35	32%	320	+/-94	32%	435	+/-120	29%
3,235	+/-398	60%	215	+/-41	40%	955	+/-162	38%	170	+/-49	61%	490	+/-125	48%	805	+/-129	53%
5,430	+/-502		535	+/-77		2,530	+/-300		280	+/-56		1,015	+/-167		1,525	+/-193	
1,290	+/-250	32%	25	+/-15	11%	490	+/-144	33%	20	+/-22	13%	150	+/-63	26%	225	+/-89	27%
1,000	+/-248	25%	115	+/-37	52%	450	+/-97	31%	55	+/-31	34%	245	+/-88	43%	245	+/-66	30%
1,715	+/-298	43%	80	+/-24	36%	530	+/-129	36%	85	+/-32	53%	175	+/-98	31%	360	+/-108	43%
4,005	+/-475		220	+/-50		1,470	+/-210		160	+/-51		570	+/-145		830	+/-140	
10,995	+/-814	10%	640	+/-86	14%	2,350	+/-272	7%	315	+/-97	6%	1,745	+/-252	10%	2,080	+/-271	10%
12,625	+/-943	11%	1,155	+/-115	26%	5,255	+/-502	16%	715	+/-140	13%	3,120	+/-303	18%	3,100	+/-333	15%
88,665	+/-1349	79%	2,700	+/-153	60%	25,935	+/-719	77%	4,315	+/-157	81%	12,865	+/-381	73%	15,485	+/-403	75%
112,285	+/-833		4,495	+/-101		33,535	+/-394		5,345	+/-103		17,730	+/-279		20,665	+/-293	
15,450	+/-1044	11%	975	+/-105	16%	4,300	+/-497	10%	440	+/-128	7%	2,745	+/-405	12%	3,255	+/-397	12%
17,630	+/-1151	13%	1,660	+/-146	28%	8,270	+/-701	19%	1,160	+/-184	17%	4,480	+/-396	20%	4,860	+/-515	18%
107,585	+/-1369	76%	3,335	+/-178	56%	31,975	+/-865	72%	5,070	+/-186	76%	15,285	+/-483	68%	18,710	+/-518	70%
140,665	+/-415		5,970	+/-29		44,540	+/-157		6,670	+/-15		22,510	+/-176		26,830	+/-168	

see Technical Notes.

e of an estimate, with a level of confidence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 percent certain that the range established by



he 'Cognitive Difficulty' question and two of three of the 'Functional Difficulty' questions of individuals aged 5 years and older, while one of three 'Functional Difficulty' questions is asked of individual subcategories.

Orleans			Rutland			Washington			Windham			Windsor		
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
180	+/-73	39%	485	+/-135	37%	285	+/-126	32%	425	+/-136	33%	335	+/-130	26%
115	+/-45	25%	375	+/-151	28%	305	+/-117	35%	320	+/-120	25%	415	+/-151	32%
165	+/-46	35%	465	+/-108	35%	295	+/-86	34%	520	+/-131	41%	535	+/-153	42%
465	+/-91		1,325	+/-231		880	+/-194		1,270	+/-259		1,280	+/-224	
505	+/-109	27%	600	+/-127	18%	375	+/-93	16%	410	+/-115	17%	570	+/-125	19%
650	+/-101	35%	1,010	+/-168	31%	665	+/-133	29%	750	+/-125	32%	915	+/-197	30%
700	+/-100	38%	1,635	+/-189	50%	1,280	+/-201	55%	1,200	+/-178	51%	1,580	+/-214	52%
1,855	+/-154		3,245	+/-304		2,320	+/-262		2,360	+/-231		3,065	+/-308	
330	+/-101	35%	500	+/-110	26%	460	+/-174	28%	560	+/-163	39%	650	+/-155	30%
310	+/-80	33%	650	+/-135	33%	615	+/-164	37%	425	+/-111	29%	540	+/-151	25%
295	+/-87	32%	805	+/-137	41%	595	+/-123	36%	465	+/-113	32%	950	+/-216	44%
930	+/-142		1,950	+/-223		1,670	+/-252		1,450	+/-185		2,140	+/-325	
2,085	+/-211	11%	4,515	+/-441	10%	3,255	+/-399	8%	2,840	+/-348	9%	2,855	+/-353	7%
4,115	+/-305	22%	7,970	+/-663	18%	6,475	+/-615	15%	5,700	+/-490	18%	6,095	+/-488	15%
12,485	+/-329	67%	31,010	+/-797	71%	32,915	+/-838	77%	22,580	+/-616	73%	31,310	+/-711	78%
18,690	+/-266		43,495	+/-497		42,640	+/-508		31,115	+/-364		40,260	+/-518	
3,675	+/-325	15%	7,035	+/-678	12%	5,085	+/-513	9%	4,885	+/-463	12%	5,145	+/-527	10%
6,135	+/-446	25%	11,830	+/-843	21%	9,130	+/-861	17%	8,585	+/-688	21%	9,145	+/-701	17%
15,110	+/-450	61%	37,465	+/-1084	67%	39,815	+/-936	74%	27,290	+/-725	67%	38,460	+/-779	73%
24,920	+/-174		56,330	+/-305		54,030	+/-304		40,760	+/-201		52,750	+/-215	

the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

Individuals aged 15 years and older. For this reason, some of the persons included in the 'Total' row (5-14 year-olds) are excluded from the 'Functional

C. Disabled Individuals

2. Household Size

State and County data

Universe (population): Total population for whom disabilities were asked.

C3: Household Size by Disability Type																
Disability type	Household size	Vermont			Addison			Bennington			Caledonia			Chittenden		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty only <i>(ages 5 and older)</i>	1 (living alone)	2,400	+/-295	22%	110	+/-51	23%	170	+/-95	23%	240	+/-85	29%	415	+/-137	19%
	2 or more	8,695	+/-554	78%	370	+/-84	77%	575	+/-140	77%	595	+/-177	71%	1,740	+/-305	81%
Functional Difficulty only <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	1 (living alone)	9,375	+/-477	32%	370	+/-88	23%	655	+/-119	31%	650	+/-121	40%	1,960	+/-312	37%
	2 or more	19,905	+/-729	68%	1,225	+/-160	77%	1,480	+/-195	69%	990	+/-161	60%	3,395	+/-384	63%
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	1 (living alone)	4,795	+/-412	26%	280	+/-86	25%	275	+/-89	22%	375	+/-101	27%	1,190	+/-249	31%
	2 or more	13,845	+/-690	74%	855	+/-150	75%	1,000	+/-179	78%	1,020	+/-154	73%	2,690	+/-372	69%
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	1 (living alone)	56,325	+/-1,041	13%	2,780	+/-208	11%	3,420	+/-333	13%	2,360	+/-206	11%	13,930	+/-646	12%
	2 or more	384,290	+/-1,491	87%	22,310	+/-429	89%	22,035	+/-415	87%	18,500	+/-391	89%	97,990	+/-977	88%
TOTAL <i>(ages 5 and older; any disability status)</i>	1 (living alone)	72,895	+/-1,190	13%	3,540	+/-234	11%	4,520	+/-342	14%	3,620	+/-268	13%	17,495	+/-714	12%
	2 or more	495,015	+/-1,206	87%	28,760	+/-354	89%	28,910	+/-392	86%	24,740	+/-292	87%	122,530	+/-845	88%

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), 2009-2013 five-year estimates. For further information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.

'Individuals' refers to estimated number of individuals in each category.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence of 90%.

'Percent' column (%) refers to percent of 'Total' population within disability group (e.g. Cognitive Difficulty).

Note: 'TOTAL' row does not equal the sum of the disability and income level (FPL) subcategories. The 'TOTAL' row includes individuals aged 5 years and over.

Shaded cells show data for population aged 15 years and older only (15-17 for '5-17' age category), of whom 'functional difficulty' is asked by ACS (see below).

'Total' refers to the total number of individuals who were asked one or more of the ACS disability questions (5 years or older, or 15 years or older, see notes below). The ACS asks the 'Cognitive Difficulty' question and two of 'Functional Difficulty' and 'No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty' rows, and the 'Total' (individuals aged 5 years and older) does not equal the sum of individual subcategories.

Disability Category:	Population age restriction (individuals whom disability question/s are asked in ACS):
Cognitive Difficulty only	5 years and older (1 question)
Functional Difficulty only	15 years and older (1 question) and 5 years and older (2 questions)
Cognitive Difficulty & Functional Difficulty	15 years and older (that answered all three 'functional difficulty' questions)
No Cognitive Difficulty or Functional Difficulty	15 years and older (that answered all three 'functional difficulty' questions)
Total	5 years and older (that answered 1 or more of the four cognitive/functional difficulty ACS questions)

Essex			Franklin			Grand Isle			Lamoille			Orange			Orleans		
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
25	+/-14	31%	130	+/-51	17%	4	+/-11	3%	20	+/-17	7%	90	+/-46	15%	125	+/-53	27%
55	+/-23	69%	625	+/-135	83%	115	+/-63	97%	265	+/-104	93%	520	+/-104	85%	330	+/-74	73%
130	+/-38	24%	570	+/-112	23%	75	+/-26	27%	375	+/-93	38%	440	+/-98	29%	640	+/-97	35%
405	+/-63	76%	1,945	+/-274	77%	200	+/-53	73%	615	+/-128	62%	1,070	+/-173	71%	1,190	+/-130	65%
45	+/-16	20%	345	+/-105	24%	45	+/-30	27%	80	+/-33	15%	125	+/-57	16%	230	+/-62	25%
175	+/-45	80%	1,115	+/-186	76%	120	+/-44	73%	465	+/-132	85%	635	+/-125	84%	695	+/-132	75%
595	+/-80	13%	3,265	+/-278	10%	610	+/-89	11%	2,445	+/-274	14%	2,490	+/-202	12%	2,185	+/-194	12%
3,885	+/-97	87%	30,215	+/-429	90%	4,740	+/-110	89%	15,235	+/-337	86%	18,110	+/-299	88%	16,475	+/-292	88%
795	+/-83	13%	4,310	+/-277	10%	735	+/-102	11%	2,915	+/-279	13%	3,140	+/-203	12%	3,185	+/-214	13%
5,160	+/-89	87%	40,120	+/-304	90%	5,935	+/-102	89%	19,480	+/-279	87%	23,530	+/-228	88%	21,675	+/-253	87%

f 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the population

three of the 'Functional Difficulty' questions of individuals aged 5 years and older, while one of three 'Functional Difficulty' questions is asked of individuals aged 15 years and older. For this reason, some of the persons included i

Washington			Windham			Windsor		
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
275	+/-115	33%	295	+/-128	24%	280	+/-118	22%
565	+/-147	67%	920	+/-199	76%	965	+/-185	78%
775	+/-160	34%	660	+/-130	28%	990	+/-166	33%
1,525	+/-216	66%	1,670	+/-210	72%	2,055	+/-263	67%
440	+/-107	29%	425	+/-139	30%	555	+/-135	26%
1,090	+/-211	71%	980	+/-134	70%	1,545	+/-271	74%
5,965	+/-377	14%	4,785	+/-329	15%	5,735	+/-384	14%
36,610	+/-566	86%	26,165	+/-461	85%	34,460	+/-657	86%
7,455	+/-359	14%	6,165	+/-350	15%	7,560	+/-408	14%
46,310	+/-487	86%	34,300	+/-382	85%	45,035	+/-463	86%

value. See more information in Technical Notes.

in the 'Total' row (5-14 year-olds) are excluded from the 'Functional Difficulty', 'Cognitive or

C. Disabled Individuals

3. Percent of household income spent on housing

State and County data

Universe (population): Occupied housing units containing one or more persons with a disability (using cu

C3: Percent of income spent on housing by House Ownership and Disability Type						
Disability type *	House Ownership	Vermont		Addison		Benn
		%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty only	Renters	45%	+/-6.1	28%	+/-15.7	40%
	Owners with mortgage	28%	+/-1.5	24%	+/-12.9	32%
	Owners without mortgage	19%	+/-1.5	38%	+/-9.2	50.0% +
Functional Difficulty only	Renters	35%	+/-1.9	34%	+/-15.6	32%
	Owners with mortgage	32%	+/-1.4	27%	+/-2.4	35%
	Owners without mortgage	21%	+/-1.1	22%	+/-3.6	19%
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	Renters	37%	+/-3.8	34%	+/-8.0	34%
	Owners with mortgage	33%	+/-1.7	31%	+/-6.7	37%
	Owners without mortgage	20%	+/-1.5	18%	+/-6.6	25%
TOTAL <i>(any disability status)</i>	Renters	37%	+/-2.0	33%	+/-5.9	34%
	Owners with mortgage	31%	+/-0.8	27%	+/-2.6	36%
	Owners without mortgage	20%	+/-1.0	22%	+/-3.6	22%
	TOTAL for Owners	26%	+/-0.7	25%	+/-1.9	30%

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), 2009-2011. 'Percent' column (%) refers to percent of monthly owner costs as a proportion of household income (for renters, percent of monthly rental costs). A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the population value. 'Total' refers to the total number of individuals who were asked one or more of the ACS disability questions is asked of individuals aged 15 years and older. For this reason, some of the persons included in the subcategories.

Notes:

A plus sign (+) following a median estimate means that the median falls in the upper interval of an open interval. A minus sign (-) following a median estimate means that the median falls in the lower interval of an open interval. An '*' entry in the margin of error (MOE) column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or the highest interval.

* Disability categories:

Cognitive Difficulty	Households with at least 1 person with a Cognitive Difficulty
Functional Difficulty	Households with at least 1 person with a functional Difficulty
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	Households with at least 1 person with both a functional and Cognitive Difficulty
TOTAL	Households with at least 1 person with a disability

Disability Category:

Cognitive Difficulty only
Functional Difficulty only
Cognitive Difficulty & Functional Difficulty
No Cognitive Difficulty or Functional Difficulty

Population age restriction (individuals whom disability questions are asked)

5 years and older (1 question)
15 years and older (1 question) and 5 years and older (1 question)
15 years and older (that answered all three 'functional' questions)
15 years and older (that answered all three 'functional' questions)

Total

5 years and older (that answered 1 or more of tl

stom disability definitions, see Technical Notes; not necessarily the householder).

ington	Caledonia		Chittenden		Essex		Franklin		Grand Isle	
MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-
+/-10.4	50.0%	+	*	50.0%	+	*	34%	+/-25.4	35%	+/-22.8
+/-9.0	27%	+/-5.6	25%	+/-2.9	29%	+/-12.1	29%	+/-2.8	28%	+/-39.6
*	27%	+/-4.6	19%	+/-12.8	18%	+/-5.5	14%	+/-11.3	24%	+/-4.0
+/-6.2	34%	+/-7.4	38%	+/-3.1	44%	+/-14.9	45%	+/-7.5	37%	+/-9.9
+/-5.9	33%	+/-3.5	31%	+/-5.3	41%	+/-16.8	36%	+/-12.2	31%	+/-25.0
+/-3.0	20%	+/-2.9	18%	+/-3.6	19%	+/-3.4	21%	+/-2.5	20%	+/-4.9
+/-14.7	43%	+/-11.2	39%	+/-9.4	38%	+/-27.9	37%	+/-19.1	50.0%	+
+/-2.5	27%	+/-7.0	34%	+/-7.6	30%	+/-5.0	37%	+/-4.4	34%	+/-17.7
+/-6.8	17%	+/-9.6	18%	+/-4.6	18%	+/-6.5	22%	+/-4.3	19%	+/-4.1
+/-4.6	44%	+/-6.8	39%	+/-3.4	41%	+/-8.0	42%	+/-6.9	39%	+/-18.0
+/-3.0	30%	+/-3.3	30%	+/-2.8	33%	+/-3.9	34%	+/-3.3	32%	+/-13.1
+/-3.2	21%	+/-3.3	18%	+/-2.7	19%	+/-2.7	21%	+/-2.3	21%	+/-3.0
+/-2.9	25%	+/-2.1	25%	+/-2.0	26%	+/-2.6	28%	+/-2.5	24%	+/-2.1

3 five-year estimates. For further information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.
owners), or percent of monthly median gross rent as a percentage of household income (for renters).
measure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence. See more information in Technical Notes.
ons (5 years or older, or 15 years or older, see notes below). The ACS asks the 'Cognitive Difficulty' question and in the 'Total' row (5-14 year-olds) are excluded from the 'Functional Difficulty', 'Cognitive or Functional Difficulty'.

- ended distribution (e.g. 50 percent or more)
- n-ended distribution (e.g. 10 percent or less)
- the upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate.

re Difficulty, and no one with both a functional and Cognitive Difficulty
al Difficulty, and no one with a Cognitive Difficulty
unctional and Cognitive Difficulty
y

Disability question/s are asked in ACS):

- older (2 questions)
- tional difficulty' questions)
- tional difficulty' questions)

dham	Windsor	
MOE +/-	%	MOE +/-
+/-12.0	42%	+/-28.1
+/-4.3	28%	+/-9.5
+/-12.8	18%	+/-1.8
+/-4.2	31%	+/-6.2
+/-3.4	33%	+/-3.4
+/-4.3	22%	+/-4.1
+/-5.3	32%	+/-3.8
+/-6.6	30%	+/-5.6
+/-10.4	23%	+/-3.5
+/-3.5	32%	+/-3.7
+/-2.6	31%	+/-2.7
+/-3.6	21%	+/-2.9
+/-1.8	27%	+/-2.4

percent certain that the

Functional Difficulty'
it equal the sum of individual

C. Disabled Individuals

4. Income by age and disability - Individuals living alone

State and County data

Universe (population): Total population who live alone and for whom disabilities are asked and household income level is determined.

Vermont										
Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age category (years)								
		18-64			65+			Total (ages 18 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty only	<100%	830	+/-198	43%	125	+/-77	27%	950	+/-199	40%
	100-200%	745	+/-180	39%	240	+/-101	51%	985	+/-199	41%
	>200%	355	+/-93	18%	105	+/-55	22%	460	+/-106	19%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,930	+/-283		470	+/-138		2,400	+/-295	
Functional Difficulty only	<100%	1,055	+/-172	33%	1,415	+/-185	23%	2,470	+/-230	26%
	100-200%	1,040	+/-164	33%	2,880	+/-263	47%	3,915	+/-316	42%
	>200%	1,100	+/-233	34%	1,885	+/-218	31%	2,985	+/-282	32%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	3,195	+/-333		6,180	+/-388		9,375	+/-477	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	1,440	+/-207	54%	685	+/-167	32%	2,125	+/-262	44%
	100-200%	840	+/-174	32%	1,070	+/-204	50%	1,910	+/-267	40%
	>200%	370	+/-99	14%	390	+/-105	18%	760	+/-147	16%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	2,650	+/-288		2,145	+/-284		4,795	+/-412	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	4,845	+/-400	13%	2,460	+/-282	13%	7,305	+/-504	13%
	100-200%	6,050	+/-424	16%	6,365	+/-423	34%	12,415	+/-616	22%
	>200%	26,865	+/-904	71%	9,745	+/-524	52%	36,610	+/-947	65%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	37,760	+/-1076		18,570	+/-730		56,325	+/-1041	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	8,165	+/-522	18%	4,685	+/-382	17%	12,855	+/-671	18%
	100-200%	8,670	+/-512	19%	10,555	+/-515	39%	19,225	+/-709	26%
	>200%	28,690	+/-905	63%	12,130	+/-552	44%	40,815	+/-918	56%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	45,525	+/-1163		27,370	+/-846		72,895	+/-1190	

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), 2009-2013 five-year estimates. For further information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.

'Individuals' refers to estimated Individuals of individuals, based on Census data.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

'Percent' column (%) refers to percent of 'Total' population within disability group (at all income levels).

Note: Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 years living alone).

FPL, Federal Poverty Level, determined using household income during the past 12 months. The ACS does not determine income level for people living in institutional group quarters (such as prisons or nursing homes), college dormitories, military barracks, or in situations without conventional housing (and who are not in shelters), or for unrelated individuals under age 15 (such as foster children). These individuals are excluded from the 'Total' count.

* Values for age categories 18-64 and 65+ have been calculated by summing individual income level categories. MOEs have been calculated per guidance from the U.S. Census Bureau; see further details in Technical Notes.

Addison

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	55	+/-41	69%	10	+/-12	29%	65	+/-44	59%
	100-200%	10	+/-13	13%	20	+/-25	59%	25	+/-25	23%
	>200%	15	+/-20	19%	4	+/-20	12%	20	+/-17	18%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	80	+/-47		34	+/-34		110	+/-51	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	25	+/-16	15%	70	+/-32	33%	90	+/-39	24%
	100-200%	70	+/-49	42%	100	+/-31	48%	170	+/-62	46%
	>200%	70	+/-53	42%	40	+/-23	19%	105	+/-57	28%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	165	+/-74		210	+/-50		370	+/-88	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	60	+/-41	45%	55	+/-45	38%	115	+/-60	41%
	100-200%	70	+/-54	52%	30	+/-20	21%	100	+/-56	36%
	>200%	4	+/-10	3%	60	+/-38	41%	65	+/-39	23%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	134	+/-69		145	+/-62		280	+/-86	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	185	+/-68	10%	120	+/-54	13%	305	+/-85	11%
	100-200%	300	+/-89	16%	275	+/-55	31%	580	+/-98	21%
	>200%	1,390	+/-151	74%	505	+/-91	56%	1,895	+/-171	68%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,875	+/-188		900	+/-119		2,780	+/-208	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	325	+/-90	14%	255	+/-72	20%	580	+/-119	16%
	100-200%	450	+/-108	20%	425	+/-64	33%	875	+/-127	25%
	>200%	1,480	+/-157	66%	605	+/-100	47%	2,085	+/-175	59%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	2,255	+/-211		1,285	+/-139		3,540	+/-234	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Bennington

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	60	+/-42	52%	50	+/-70	93%	110	+/-79	65%
	100-200%	15	+/-20	13%	0	+/-20	0%	15	+/-20	9%
	>200%	40	+/-41	35%	4	+/-5	7%	40	+/-42	24%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	115	+/-62		54	+/-73		170	+/-95	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	55	+/-40	28%	15	+/-11	3%	70	+/-38	11%
	100-200%	30	+/-26	15%	270	+/-85	59%	300	+/-90	46%
	>200%	115	+/-87	58%	170	+/-56	37%	280	+/-95	43%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	200	+/-99		455	+/-102		655	+/-119	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	95	+/-67	48%	25	+/-29	33%	120	+/-74	44%
	100-200%	95	+/-56	48%	25	+/-20	33%	120	+/-60	44%
	>200%	10	+/-17	5%	25	+/-21	33%	35	+/-26	13%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	200	+/-89		75	+/-41		275	+/-89	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	180	+/-73	9%	200	+/-84	14%	380	+/-106	11%
	100-200%	295	+/-102	15%	535	+/-134	36%	830	+/-181	24%
	>200%	1,470	+/-240	76%	740	+/-175	50%	2,210	+/-299	65%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,945	+/-271		1,475	+/-236		3,420	+/-333	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	390	+/-99	16%	290	+/-115	14%	680	+/-148	15%
	100-200%	435	+/-119	18%	830	+/-152	40%	1,265	+/-196	28%
	>200%	1,635	+/-259	66%	935	+/-180	45%	2,570	+/-317	57%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	2,460	+/-302		2,055	+/-262		4,520	+/-342	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Caledonia

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	90	+/-59	44%	0	+/-20	0%	90	+/-59	38%
	100-200%	85	+/-55	41%	30	+/-22	88%	115	+/-57	48%
	>200%	30	+/-25	15%	4	+/-2	12%	30	+/-25	13%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	205	+/-84		34	+/-30		240	+/-85	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	85	+/-54	36%	100	+/-58	24%	190	+/-90	29%
	100-200%	80	+/-50	34%	180	+/-50	44%	260	+/-68	40%
	>200%	70	+/-38	30%	130	+/-58	32%	200	+/-72	31%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	235	+/-83		410	+/-96		650	+/-121	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	75	+/-38	63%	125	+/-70	48%	195	+/-78	52%
	100-200%	35	+/-29	29%	120	+/-59	46%	155	+/-68	41%
	>200%	10	+/-11	8%	15	+/-24	6%	25	+/-23	7%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	120	+/-49		260	+/-95		375	+/-101	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	315	+/-95	21%	115	+/-42	13%	430	+/-103	18%
	100-200%	175	+/-78	12%	360	+/-96	42%	535	+/-127	23%
	>200%	1,005	+/-151	67%	385	+/-86	45%	1,395	+/-171	59%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,495	+/-195		860	+/-136		2,360	+/-206	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	565	+/-135	28%	340	+/-106	22%	910	+/-182	25%
	100-200%	370	+/-105	18%	690	+/-114	44%	1,060	+/-158	29%
	>200%	1,115	+/-152	54%	535	+/-99	34%	1,650	+/-178	46%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	2,050	+/-229		1,565	+/-184		3,620	+/-268	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Chittenden

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	130	+/-90	35%	10	+/-19	25%	140	+/-95	34%
	100-200%	180	+/-97	48%	15	+/-27	38%	195	+/-102	47%
	>200%	65	+/-44	17%	15	+/-22	38%	80	+/-49	19%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	375	+/-139		40	+/-40		415	+/-137	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	105	+/-75	21%	310	+/-116	21%	420	+/-143	21%
	100-200%	220	+/-75	43%	565	+/-156	39%	785	+/-185	40%
	>200%	185	+/-125	36%	575	+/-140	40%	760	+/-165	39%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	510	+/-164		1,450	+/-240		1,960	+/-312	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	510	+/-163	63%	100	+/-91	27%	615	+/-184	52%
	100-200%	175	+/-79	22%	215	+/-86	57%	390	+/-116	33%
	>200%	125	+/-74	15%	60	+/-47	16%	185	+/-87	16%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	810	+/-196		375	+/-134		1,190	+/-249	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	1,180	+/-189	12%	455	+/-128	12%	1,635	+/-228	12%
	100-200%	1,330	+/-245	13%	1,105	+/-228	29%	2,435	+/-332	17%
	>200%	7,595	+/-511	75%	2,270	+/-296	59%	9,860	+/-584	71%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	10,105	+/-597		3,830	+/-395		13,930	+/-646	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	1,930	+/-236	16%	880	+/-209	15%	2,805	+/-304	16%
	100-200%	1,905	+/-288	16%	1,900	+/-262	33%	3,805	+/-392	22%
	>200%	7,965	+/-528	68%	2,915	+/-308	51%	10,880	+/-587	62%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	11,800	+/-646		5,695	+/-455		17,495	+/-714	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Essex

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	4	+/-5	50%	4	+/-13	33%	4	+/-14	16%
	100-200%	4	+/-12	50%	4	+/-13	33%	10	+/-10	40%
	>200%	0	+/-13	0%	4	+/-9	33%	4	+/-9	16%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	8	+/-18		12	+/-20		25	+/-14	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	25	+/-20	51%	25	+/-19	29%	50	+/-29	38%
	100-200%	20	+/-20	41%	35	+/-16	41%	55	+/-25	42%
	>200%	4	+/-4	8%	25	+/-15	29%	25	+/-16	19%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	49	+/-29		85	+/-29		130	+/-38	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	4	+/-13	33%	4	+/-19	14%	10	+/-13	22%
	100-200%	4	+/-4	33%	15	+/-14	52%	15	+/-13	33%
	>200%	4	+/-8	33%	10	+/-10	34%	15	+/-11	33%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	12	+/-16		29	+/-26		45	+/-16	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	55	+/-22	17%	35	+/-20	13%	90	+/-27	15%
	100-200%	100	+/-39	30%	110	+/-30	42%	210	+/-52	35%
	>200%	175	+/-45	53%	120	+/-33	45%	295	+/-58	50%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	330	+/-63		265	+/-49		595	+/-80	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	85	+/-30	21%	70	+/-26	18%	155	+/-43	19%
	100-200%	130	+/-49	33%	160	+/-36	41%	290	+/-63	36%
	>200%	185	+/-45	46%	160	+/-36	41%	345	+/-59	43%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	400	+/-73		390	+/-57		795	+/-83	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Franklin

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	25	+/-17	24%	0	+/-20	0%	25	+/-17	19%
	100-200%	45	+/-37	43%	25	+/-22	86%	70	+/-46	54%
	>200%	35	+/-25	33%	4	+/-20	14%	35	+/-24	27%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	105	+/-48		29	+/-36		130	+/-51	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	90	+/-48	43%	85	+/-64	23%	175	+/-76	31%
	100-200%	65	+/-41	31%	260	+/-79	71%	325	+/-89	57%
	>200%	55	+/-43	26%	20	+/-20	5%	70	+/-53	12%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	210	+/-76		365	+/-104		570	+/-112	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	70	+/-38	31%	15	+/-20	13%	85	+/-44	25%
	100-200%	75	+/-51	33%	95	+/-64	79%	170	+/-72	49%
	>200%	80	+/-54	36%	10	+/-13	8%	90	+/-58	26%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	225	+/-83		120	+/-68		345	+/-105	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	220	+/-95	10%	145	+/-52	14%	365	+/-110	11%
	100-200%	390	+/-130	17%	405	+/-108	39%	790	+/-195	24%
	>200%	1,630	+/-202	73%	480	+/-117	47%	2,110	+/-236	65%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	2,240	+/-258		1,030	+/-168		3,265	+/-278	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	405	+/-105	15%	245	+/-81	16%	650	+/-145	15%
	100-200%	570	+/-156	21%	785	+/-132	51%	1,355	+/-215	31%
	>200%	1,800	+/-207	65%	505	+/-113	33%	2,305	+/-237	53%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	2,775	+/-280		1,535	+/-192		4,310	+/-277	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Grand Isle

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	0	+/-13	0%	0	+/-13	0%	0	+/-13	0%
	100-200%	0	+/-13	0%	0	+/-13	0%	0	+/-13	0%
	>200%	4	+/-3	100%	4	+/-2	100%	4	+/-11	100%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	4	+/-19		4	+/-18		4	+/-11	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	4	+/-3	17%	4	+/-4	7%	4	+/-13	5%
	100-200%	15	+/-13	65%	35	+/-22	59%	50	+/-28	67%
	>200%	4	+/-13	17%	20	+/-15	34%	25	+/-15	33%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	23	+/-19		59	+/-27		75	+/-26	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	20	+/-20	83%	0	+/-13	0%	20	+/-20	44%
	100-200%	4	+/-8	17%	15	+/-19	79%	20	+/-21	44%
	>200%	0	+/-13	0%	4	+/-13	21%	4	+/-13	9%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	24	+/-25		19	+/-26		45	+/-30	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	45	+/-28	10%	15	+/-12	9%	60	+/-30	10%
	100-200%	55	+/-24	13%	50	+/-24	30%	105	+/-32	17%
	>200%	340	+/-68	77%	100	+/-33	61%	440	+/-75	72%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	440	+/-77		165	+/-43		610	+/-89	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	65	+/-36	13%	20	+/-17	8%	85	+/-35	12%
	100-200%	75	+/-30	15%	100	+/-34	41%	175	+/-42	24%
	>200%	345	+/-67	71%	125	+/-35	51%	475	+/-80	65%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	485	+/-82		245	+/-52		735	+/-102	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Lamoille

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	4	+/-17	29%	0	+/-17	0%	4	+/-17	20%
	100-200%	0	+/-17	0%	4	+/-1	100%	4	+/-1	20%
	>200%	10	+/-16	71%	0	+/-17	0%	10	+/-16	50%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	14	+/-29		4	+/-24		20	+/-17	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	60	+/-36	44%	40	+/-25	17%	100	+/-37	27%
	100-200%	35	+/-26	26%	130	+/-58	54%	165	+/-65	44%
	>200%	40	+/-33	30%	70	+/-48	29%	110	+/-57	29%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	135	+/-55		240	+/-79		375	+/-93	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	25	+/-22	46%	15	+/-18	60%	40	+/-28	50%
	100-200%	25	+/-22	46%	10	+/-11	40%	35	+/-22	44%
	>200%	4	+/-5	7%	0	+/-17	0%	4	+/-5	5%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	54	+/-32		25	+/-27		80	+/-33	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	175	+/-57	11%	160	+/-74	19%	335	+/-96	14%
	100-200%	250	+/-79	15%	370	+/-112	45%	620	+/-126	25%
	>200%	1,190	+/-203	74%	300	+/-104	36%	1,490	+/-222	61%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,615	+/-225		830	+/-170		2,445	+/-274	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	265	+/-73	15%	215	+/-76	20%	480	+/-103	16%
	100-200%	310	+/-88	17%	510	+/-119	46%	820	+/-139	28%
	>200%	1,240	+/-206	68%	375	+/-110	34%	1,615	+/-223	55%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,815	+/-236		1,100	+/-179		2,915	+/-279	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Orange

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	15	+/-19	20%	4	+/-9	33%	25	+/-20	28%
	100-200%	35	+/-31	47%	4	+/-17	33%	40	+/-34	44%
	>200%	25	+/-22	33%	4	+/-17	33%	30	+/-24	33%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	75	+/-42		12	+/-26		90	+/-46	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	80	+/-49	52%	90	+/-41	31%	170	+/-64	39%
	100-200%	20	+/-18	13%	85	+/-43	29%	100	+/-46	23%
	>200%	55	+/-40	35%	115	+/-51	40%	165	+/-61	38%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	155	+/-66		290	+/-78		440	+/-98	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	15	+/-16	43%	35	+/-33	39%	50	+/-37	40%
	100-200%	0	+/-17	0%	50	+/-36	56%	50	+/-36	40%
	>200%	20	+/-19	57%	4	+/-12	4%	25	+/-17	20%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	35	+/-30		89	+/-50		125	+/-57	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	305	+/-93	17%	70	+/-32	10%	375	+/-100	15%
	100-200%	235	+/-87	13%	235	+/-60	32%	475	+/-101	19%
	>200%	1,210	+/-144	69%	430	+/-77	59%	1,640	+/-163	66%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,750	+/-192		735	+/-103		2,490	+/-202	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	420	+/-99	21%	195	+/-58	17%	615	+/-109	20%
	100-200%	290	+/-100	14%	375	+/-87	33%	665	+/-123	21%
	>200%	1,310	+/-156	65%	550	+/-77	49%	1,860	+/-168	59%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	2,020	+/-210		1,120	+/-130		3,140	+/-203	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Orleans

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	45	+/-33	45%	0	+/-17	0%	45	+/-33	36%
	100-200%	40	+/-35	40%	15	+/-18	60%	60	+/-39	48%
	>200%	15	+/-13	15%	10	+/-17	40%	25	+/-20	20%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	100	+/-50		25	+/-30		125	+/-53	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	130	+/-59	51%	155	+/-51	40%	285	+/-76	45%
	100-200%	80	+/-38	31%	150	+/-48	39%	230	+/-59	36%
	>200%	45	+/-29	18%	80	+/-33	21%	125	+/-45	20%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	255	+/-76		385	+/-77		640	+/-97	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	45	+/-29	61%	30	+/-22	20%	75	+/-36	33%
	100-200%	25	+/-21	34%	100	+/-48	67%	130	+/-51	57%
	>200%	4	+/-11	5%	20	+/-15	13%	25	+/-17	11%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	74	+/-37		150	+/-55		230	+/-62	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	185	+/-58	14%	170	+/-65	19%	355	+/-95	16%
	100-200%	320	+/-86	25%	330	+/-60	38%	650	+/-106	30%
	>200%	800	+/-127	61%	380	+/-67	43%	1,180	+/-148	54%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,305	+/-164		880	+/-111		2,185	+/-194	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	400	+/-84	23%	360	+/-88	25%	760	+/-120	24%
	100-200%	470	+/-99	27%	600	+/-81	41%	1,070	+/-129	34%
	>200%	865	+/-137	50%	490	+/-76	34%	1,355	+/-163	43%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,735	+/-189		1,450	+/-142		3,185	+/-214	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Rutland

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	85	+/-51	52%	15	+/-20	25%	100	+/-55	44%
	100-200%	60	+/-41	36%	35	+/-38	58%	95	+/-57	42%
	>200%	20	+/-19	12%	10	+/-14	17%	30	+/-25	13%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	165	+/-68		60	+/-45		225	+/-85	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	100	+/-51	24%	170	+/-68	25%	270	+/-90	25%
	100-200%	145	+/-82	35%	325	+/-90	48%	470	+/-108	43%
	>200%	165	+/-85	40%	185	+/-81	27%	350	+/-119	32%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	410	+/-129		680	+/-139		1,090	+/-197	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	105	+/-46	45%	20	+/-19	13%	125	+/-47	32%
	100-200%	100	+/-55	43%	85	+/-41	57%	185	+/-66	48%
	>200%	30	+/-23	13%	45	+/-29	30%	75	+/-37	19%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	235	+/-75		150	+/-54		385	+/-90	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	595	+/-133	16%	310	+/-86	15%	905	+/-149	16%
	100-200%	830	+/-200	22%	715	+/-129	35%	1,545	+/-235	27%
	>200%	2,280	+/-266	62%	1,040	+/-169	50%	3,315	+/-327	58%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	3,705	+/-358		2,065	+/-229		5,765	+/-389	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	885	+/-151	20%	515	+/-97	17%	1,395	+/-187	19%
	100-200%	1,135	+/-220	25%	1,160	+/-161	39%	2,295	+/-255	31%
	>200%	2,495	+/-284	55%	1,275	+/-177	43%	3,775	+/-341	51%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	4,515	+/-390		2,950	+/-258		7,465	+/-395	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Washington

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	120	+/-101	47%	10	+/-13	42%	130	+/-99	47%
	100-200%	105	+/-60	41%	10	+/-15	42%	115	+/-62	42%
	>200%	30	+/-23	12%	4	+/-9	17%	35	+/-25	13%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	255	+/-120		24	+/-22		275	+/-115	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	80	+/-40	30%	105	+/-57	21%	180	+/-76	23%
	100-200%	90	+/-56	33%	270	+/-97	53%	360	+/-107	46%
	>200%	100	+/-63	37%	130	+/-62	26%	230	+/-90	30%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	270	+/-93		505	+/-128		775	+/-160	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	115	+/-69	56%	50	+/-39	21%	165	+/-82	38%
	100-200%	55	+/-42	27%	140	+/-70	58%	195	+/-77	44%
	>200%	35	+/-25	17%	50	+/-46	21%	85	+/-51	19%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	205	+/-85		240	+/-92		440	+/-107	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	470	+/-122	12%	210	+/-86	11%	680	+/-151	11%
	100-200%	565	+/-134	14%	600	+/-115	31%	1,165	+/-178	20%
	>200%	3,020	+/-337	74%	1,095	+/-194	57%	4,115	+/-347	69%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	4,055	+/-383		1,905	+/-241		5,965	+/-377	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	785	+/-175	16%	370	+/-97	14%	1,155	+/-212	15%
	100-200%	815	+/-157	17%	1,025	+/-151	38%	1,835	+/-216	25%
	>200%	3,180	+/-344	67%	1,280	+/-205	48%	4,460	+/-356	60%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	4,780	+/-417		2,675	+/-272		7,455	+/-359	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Windham

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	110	+/-78	56%	15	+/-13	14%	125	+/-81	42%
	100-200%	35	+/-31	18%	70	+/-84	67%	100	+/-88	34%
	>200%	50	+/-33	26%	20	+/-20	19%	70	+/-39	24%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	195	+/-90		105	+/-87		295	+/-128	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	60	+/-32	38%	95	+/-54	19%	155	+/-60	23%
	100-200%	50	+/-33	31%	240	+/-75	48%	285	+/-82	43%
	>200%	50	+/-54	31%	165	+/-58	33%	215	+/-82	33%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	160	+/-71		500	+/-109		660	+/-130	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	130	+/-80	49%	75	+/-58	47%	205	+/-99	48%
	100-200%	105	+/-50	40%	70	+/-46	44%	175	+/-69	41%
	>200%	30	+/-41	11%	15	+/-17	9%	45	+/-45	11%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	265	+/-103		160	+/-76		425	+/-139	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	475	+/-126	15%	190	+/-66	12%	665	+/-139	14%
	100-200%	570	+/-116	18%	510	+/-93	32%	1,080	+/-150	23%
	>200%	2,130	+/-237	67%	905	+/-137	56%	3,040	+/-281	64%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	3,175	+/-292		1,605	+/-178		4,785	+/-329	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	780	+/-154	21%	375	+/-100	16%	1,155	+/-175	19%
	100-200%	750	+/-145	20%	890	+/-131	38%	1,640	+/-185	27%
	>200%	2,260	+/-231	60%	1,105	+/-140	47%	3,365	+/-273	55%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	3,790	+/-313		2,370	+/-216		6,165	+/-350	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

Windsor

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age								
		18-64			65+			Total (all ages)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty	<100%	85	+/-65	35%	4	+/-4	10%	90	+/-65	32%
	100-200%	135	+/-81	56%	10	+/-14	26%	145	+/-81	52%
	>200%	20	+/-12	8%	25	+/-34	64%	45	+/-35	16%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	240	+/-105		39	+/-37		280	+/-118	
Functional Difficulty	<100%	160	+/-70	36%	150	+/-56	27%	310	+/-91	31%
	100-200%	130	+/-84	30%	235	+/-70	43%	360	+/-107	36%
	>200%	150	+/-73	34%	165	+/-61	30%	315	+/-81	32%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	440	+/-131		550	+/-108		990	+/-166	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty	<100%	170	+/-80	67%	135	+/-85	44%	305	+/-114	55%
	100-200%	70	+/-42	27%	95	+/-99	31%	170	+/-107	31%
	>200%	15	+/-19	6%	75	+/-56	25%	85	+/-59	15%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	255	+/-92		305	+/-142		555	+/-135	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty	<100%	450	+/-139	12%	265	+/-83	13%	715	+/-170	12%
	100-200%	630	+/-169	17%	760	+/-157	38%	1,395	+/-243	24%
	>200%	2,630	+/-330	71%	995	+/-171	49%	3,625	+/-337	63%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	3,710	+/-396		2,020	+/-247		5,735	+/-384	
TOTAL individuals in age category (any disability status)	<100%	865	+/-190	19%	555	+/-151	19%	1,420	+/-260	19%
	100-200%	965	+/-208	21%	1,105	+/-182	38%	2,070	+/-292	27%
	>200%	2,815	+/-334	61%	1,260	+/-174	43%	4,070	+/-355	54%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	4,645	+/-437		2,920	+/-294		7,560	+/-408	

Columns for 'under 18 year-olds' have been suppressed, since these estimates were all 0 (no individuals under the age of 18 yrs living alone).

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45102_050)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live alone and household income level is determined.

C. Disabled Individuals

5. Income by age and disability - Individuals living with two or more household members

State and County data

Universe (population): Total population who live in households with two or more people, for whom disabilities are asked and household income level is determined.

Vermont

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age category (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty only (ages 5 and older)	<100%	200	+/-99	21%	2,210	+/-277	32%	50	+/-29	6%	2,460	+/-308	28%
	100-200%	350	+/-139	36%	1,445	+/-250	21%	300	+/-102	36%	2,095	+/-347	24%
	>200%	410	+/-137	43%	3,245	+/-384	47%	490	+/-122	58%	4,140	+/-403	48%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	960	+/-219		6,900	+/-535		840	+/-162		8,695	+/-554	
Functional Difficulty only (ages 15 and older)	<100%	115	+/-71	20%	2,045	+/-205	19%	505	+/-113	6%	2,660	+/-237	13%
	100-200%	170	+/-61	30%	2,870	+/-296	26%	2,210	+/-208	26%	5,250	+/-367	26%
	>200%	290	+/-101	50%	6,070	+/-468	55%	5,635	+/-371	67%	11,995	+/-583	60%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	575	+/-138		10,985	+/-590		8,350	+/-440		19,905	+/-729	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	390	+/-100	31%	2,860	+/-370	33%	400	+/-100	10%	3,650	+/-411	26%
	100-200%	305	+/-86	24%	2,470	+/-311	28%	930	+/-138	24%	3,710	+/-360	27%
	>200%	570	+/-114	45%	3,430	+/-341	39%	2,485	+/-323	65%	6,480	+/-490	47%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,265	+/-174		8,760	+/-592		3,815	+/-365		13,845	+/-690	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	2,395	+/-217	11%	27,995	+/-1281	9%	1,660	+/-204	3%	32,050	+/-1409	8%
	100-200%	4,385	+/-328	20%	42,295	+/-1327	14%	8,810	+/-504	17%	55,490	+/-1545	14%
	>200%	15,405	+/-434	69%	241,220	+/-2185	77%	40,125	+/-741	79%	296,750	+/-2412	77%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	22,185	+/-586		311,510	+/-2859		50,595	+/-919		384,290	+/-1491	
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	12,400	+/-853	13%	35,110	+/-1502	10%	2,615	+/-249	4%	50,120	+/-2038	10%
	100-200%	19,620	+/-975	21%	49,080	+/-1476	15%	12,255	+/-577	19%	80,955	+/-2187	16%
	>200%	61,240	+/-1163	66%	253,965	+/-2208	75%	48,730	+/-809	77%	363,935	+/-2763	74%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	93,260	+/-1741		338,155	+/-3051		63,600	+/-1024		495,015	+/-1206	

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), 2009-2013 five-year estimates. For further information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.

'Individuals' refers to estimated Individuals of individuals, based on Census data.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

'Percent' column (%) refers to percent of 'Total' population within disability group (at all income levels).

Note: 'TOTAL' row does not equal the sum of the disability and income level (FPL) subcategories. The 'TOTAL' row includes individuals aged 5 years and over.

Shaded cells show data for population aged 15 years and older only (15-17 for '5-17' age category), of whom 'functional difficulty' is asked by ACS (see below).

'Total' refers to the total number of individuals who were asked one or more of the ACS disability questions (asked of individuals 5 years or older, or 15 years or older, see notes below). The ACS asks the single 'Cognitive Difficulty' question and two of three of the 'Functional Difficulty' questions of individuals aged 5 years and older, while one of three 'Functional Difficulty' questions is asked of individuals aged 15 years and older. For this reason, some of the persons included in the 'Total' row (5-14 year-olds) are excluded from the 'Functional Difficulty', 'Cognitive or Functional Difficulty' and 'No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty' rows, and the 'Total' (individuals aged 5 years and older) does not equal the sum of individual subcategories.

Disability Category:	Population age restriction (individuals whom disability question/s are asked in ACS):
Cognitive Difficulty only	5 years and older (1 question)
Functional Difficulty only	15 years and older (1 question) and 5 years and older (2 questions)
Cognitive Difficulty & Functional Difficulty	15 years and older (that answered all three 'functional difficulty' questions)
No Cognitive Difficulty or Functional Difficulty	15 years and older (that answered all three 'functional difficulty' questions)
Total	5 years and older (that answered 1 or more of the four cognitive/functional difficulty ACS questions)

FPL, Federal Poverty Level, determined using household income during the past 12 months. The ACS does not determine income status for people living in institutional group quarters (such as prisons or nursing homes), college dormitories, military barracks, or in situations without conventional housing (and who are not in shelters), or for unrelated individuals under age 15 (such as foster children). These individuals are excluded from the 'Total' count.

Addison

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty <i>(ages 5 and older)</i>	<100%	4	+/-20	33%	100	+/-52	32%	15	+/-16	25%	115	+/-53	31%
	100-200%	4	+/-20	33%	45	+/-29	15%	30	+/-20	50%	75	+/-33	20%
	>200%	4	+/-20	33%	165	+/-60	53%	15	+/-13	25%	185	+/-59	50%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	12	+/-35		310	+/-85		60	+/-29		370	+/-84	
Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	0	+/-20	0%	145	+/-55	22%	20	+/-18	4%	165	+/-59	13%
	100-200%	4	+/-2	21%	160	+/-56	25%	110	+/-50	20%	275	+/-69	22%
	>200%	15	+/-19	79%	345	+/-82	53%	425	+/-78	77%	785	+/-116	64%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	19	+/-28		650	+/-114		555	+/-94		1,225	+/-160	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	15	+/-18	15%	200	+/-88	43%	30	+/-14	10%	245	+/-96	29%
	100-200%	45	+/-45	45%	100	+/-44	21%	85	+/-47	29%	230	+/-70	27%
	>200%	40	+/-40	40%	170	+/-55	36%	175	+/-64	60%	380	+/-91	44%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	100	+/-63		470	+/-113		290	+/-81		855	+/-150	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	90	+/-44	6%	1,370	+/-196	8%	80	+/-28	3%	1,540	+/-201	7%
	100-200%	260	+/-68	19%	2,180	+/-238	12%	395	+/-88	13%	2,835	+/-307	13%
	>200%	1,055	+/-83	75%	14,290	+/-405	80%	2,590	+/-149	85%	17,935	+/-465	80%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,405	+/-116		17,840	+/-509		3,065	+/-175		22,310	+/-429	
TOTAL individuals in age category <i>(ages 5 and older with any disability status)</i>	<100%	725	+/-167	13%	1,810	+/-239	9%	145	+/-44	4%	2,675	+/-327	9%
	100-200%	1,070	+/-195	19%	2,480	+/-256	13%	615	+/-129	16%	4,165	+/-418	14%
	>200%	3,745	+/-216	68%	14,965	+/-396	78%	3,205	+/-153	81%	21,915	+/-542	76%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	5,540	+/-336		19,255	+/-529		3,965	+/-205		28,760	+/-354	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Bennington

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty <i>(ages 5 and older)</i>	<100%	10	+/-11	18%	160	+/-90	32%	0	+/-20	0%	170	+/-90	30%
	100-200%	15	+/-16	27%	170	+/-75	34%	10	+/-15	71%	195	+/-75	34%
	>200%	30	+/-31	55%	170	+/-73	34%	4	+/-11	29%	210	+/-80	37%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	55	+/-37		500	+/-138		14	+/-27		575	+/-140	
Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	10	+/-19	25%	185	+/-87	23%	50	+/-40	8%	245	+/-98	17%
	100-200%	15	+/-18	38%	215	+/-99	27%	185	+/-70	29%	415	+/-120	28%
	>200%	15	+/-19	38%	395	+/-100	50%	410	+/-97	64%	820	+/-141	55%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	40	+/-32		795	+/-165		645	+/-126		1,480	+/-195	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	105	+/-60	72%	315	+/-129	44%	10	+/-11	7%	425	+/-143	43%
	100-200%	25	+/-24	17%	160	+/-59	22%	35	+/-32	24%	220	+/-77	22%
	>200%	15	+/-19	10%	240	+/-107	34%	100	+/-52	69%	355	+/-118	36%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	145	+/-67		715	+/-178		145	+/-62		1,000	+/-179	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	225	+/-99	18%	1,750	+/-283	10%	70	+/-37	2%	2,050	+/-325	9%
	100-200%	310	+/-99	24%	2,775	+/-368	16%	610	+/-133	16%	3,695	+/-434	17%
	>200%	740	+/-119	58%	12,395	+/-453	73%	3,150	+/-215	82%	16,285	+/-547	74%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,275	+/-184		16,920	+/-649		3,830	+/-256		22,035	+/-415	
TOTAL individuals in age category <i>(ages 5 and older with any disability status)</i>	<100%	1,050	+/-225	20%	2,410	+/-354	13%	130	+/-62	3%	3,590	+/-474	12%
	100-200%	1,325	+/-210	25%	3,320	+/-431	18%	840	+/-153	18%	5,490	+/-578	19%
	>200%	2,965	+/-302	56%	13,200	+/-471	70%	3,665	+/-213	79%	19,835	+/-666	69%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	5,340	+/-431		18,930	+/-730		4,635	+/-269		28,910	+/-392	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Caledonia

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty (ages 5 and older)	<100%	15	+/-17	25%	120	+/-62	26%	0	+/-20	0%	135	+/-71	23%
	100-200%	30	+/-46	50%	135	+/-73	29%	25	+/-26	36%	195	+/-114	33%
	>200%	15	+/-18	25%	205	+/-79	45%	45	+/-42	64%	265	+/-93	45%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	60	+/-52		460	+/-124		70	+/-53		595	+/-177	
Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	0	+/-20	0%	120	+/-72	22%	10	+/-13	2%	130	+/-74	13%
	100-200%	4	+/-9	50%	125	+/-56	23%	145	+/-51	32%	280	+/-80	28%
	>200%	4	+/-6	50%	290	+/-74	54%	295	+/-79	66%	585	+/-110	59%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	8	+/-23		535	+/-117		450	+/-95		990	+/-161	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	45	+/-35	45%	185	+/-63	29%	15	+/-20	5%	245	+/-79	24%
	100-200%	45	+/-31	45%	190	+/-83	30%	60	+/-34	21%	290	+/-101	28%
	>200%	10	+/-12	10%	260	+/-87	41%	210	+/-82	74%	485	+/-118	48%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	100	+/-48		635	+/-136		285	+/-91		1,020	+/-154	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	145	+/-68	13%	1,505	+/-224	10%	40	+/-20	2%	1,690	+/-243	9%
	100-200%	255	+/-76	22%	2,485	+/-292	17%	565	+/-119	23%	3,305	+/-361	18%
	>200%	740	+/-110	65%	10,905	+/-471	73%	1,855	+/-179	75%	13,505	+/-567	73%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,140	+/-150		14,895	+/-598		2,460	+/-216		18,500	+/-391	
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	885	+/-207	18%	1,930	+/-253	12%	65	+/-31	2%	2,880	+/-378	12%
	100-200%	1,190	+/-226	24%	2,935	+/-329	18%	795	+/-144	24%	4,925	+/-535	20%
	>200%	2,865	+/-252	58%	11,660	+/-446	71%	2,405	+/-186	74%	16,930	+/-639	68%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	4,940	+/-397		16,525	+/-609		3,265	+/-237		24,740	+/-292	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Chittenden

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty (ages 5 and older)	<100%	55	+/-60	23%	440	+/-180	32%	0	+/-22	0%	490	+/-191	28%
	100-200%	40	+/-39	17%	195	+/-80	14%	55	+/-57	38%	290	+/-114	17%
	>200%	145	+/-81	60%	725	+/-210	53%	90	+/-54	62%	960	+/-234	55%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	240	+/-108		1,360	+/-288		145	+/-82		1,740	+/-305	
Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	35	+/-42	32%	245	+/-102	13%	65	+/-51	5%	345	+/-130	10%
	100-200%	10	+/-15	9%	295	+/-105	16%	300	+/-88	21%	600	+/-133	18%
	>200%	65	+/-38	59%	1,345	+/-264	71%	1,045	+/-210	74%	2,450	+/-342	72%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	110	+/-59		1,885	+/-302		1,410	+/-233		3,395	+/-384	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	10	+/-16	7%	585	+/-170	34%	45	+/-39	5%	640	+/-176	24%
	100-200%	30	+/-28	21%	365	+/-186	21%	170	+/-85	20%	565	+/-199	21%
	>200%	105	+/-47	72%	760	+/-215	44%	620	+/-169	74%	1,485	+/-282	55%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	145	+/-57		1,710	+/-331		835	+/-193		2,690	+/-372	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	515	+/-121	10%	8,355	+/-738	10%	205	+/-105	2%	9,080	+/-795	9%
	100-200%	565	+/-171	11%	8,470	+/-770	10%	1,110	+/-242	11%	10,145	+/-900	10%
	>200%	4,275	+/-227	80%	66,065	+/-1274	80%	8,420	+/-433	86%	78,760	+/-1375	80%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	5,355	+/-309		82,890	+/-1662		9,735	+/-507		97,990	+/-977	
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	2,290	+/-372	10%	9,630	+/-818	11%	315	+/-125	3%	12,235	+/-1042	10%
	100-200%	2,750	+/-394	12%	9,325	+/-810	11%	1,635	+/-265	13%	13,705	+/-1105	11%
	>200%	17,525	+/-430	78%	68,895	+/-1257	78%	10,175	+/-475	84%	96,590	+/-1476	79%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	22,565	+/-692		87,850	+/-1704		12,125	+/-558		122,530	+/-845	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Essex

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty (ages 5 and older)	<100%	0	+/-13	0%	15	+/-13	38%	0	+/-13	0%	15	+/-13	27%
	100-200%	0	+/-13	0%	15	+/-10	38%	10	+/-15	71%	25	+/-17	45%
	>200%	4	+/-2	100%	10	+/-11	25%	4	+/-13	29%	15	+/-8	27%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	4	+/-18		40	+/-20		14	+/-24		55	+/-23	
Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	0	+/-13	0%	60	+/-24	25%	25	+/-14	17%	85	+/-30	21%
	100-200%	4	+/-13	29%	95	+/-32	40%	35	+/-16	23%	130	+/-37	32%
	>200%	10	+/-16	71%	85	+/-29	35%	90	+/-24	60%	190	+/-39	47%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	14	+/-24		240	+/-49		150	+/-32		405	+/-63	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	4	+/-4	22%	10	+/-13	10%	4	+/-3	7%	15	+/-12	9%
	100-200%	4	+/-13	22%	65	+/-30	62%	25	+/-15	46%	95	+/-33	54%
	>200%	10	+/-13	56%	30	+/-15	29%	25	+/-14	46%	65	+/-20	37%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	18	+/-19		105	+/-36		54	+/-21		175	+/-45	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	55	+/-23	25%	435	+/-74	15%	55	+/-24	8%	545	+/-87	14%
	100-200%	55	+/-25	25%	620	+/-89	21%	270	+/-49	40%	945	+/-113	24%
	>200%	110	+/-23	50%	1,945	+/-130	65%	345	+/-41	51%	2,395	+/-145	62%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	220	+/-41		3,000	+/-174		670	+/-68		3,885	+/-97	
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	205	+/-53	23%	520	+/-78	15%	85	+/-28	10%	810	+/-111	16%
	100-200%	230	+/-60	26%	795	+/-106	23%	345	+/-62	39%	1,365	+/-150	26%
	>200%	455	+/-63	51%	2,070	+/-130	61%	460	+/-53	52%	2,985	+/-174	58%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	890	+/-102		3,385	+/-185		890	+/-86		5,160	+/-89	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Franklin

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty (ages 5 and older)	<100%	10	+/-16	20%	135	+/-74	26%	4	+/-20	7%	150	+/-75	24%
	100-200%	15	+/-23	30%	75	+/-46	14%	15	+/-18	28%	105	+/-54	17%
	>200%	25	+/-25	50%	310	+/-104	60%	35	+/-24	65%	370	+/-104	59%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	50	+/-38		520	+/-136		54	+/-36		625	+/-135	
Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	0	+/-20	0%	255	+/-74	21%	40	+/-26	6%	295	+/-79	15%
	100-200%	10	+/-19	40%	540	+/-175	44%	220	+/-72	32%	770	+/-171	40%
	>200%	15	+/-14	60%	430	+/-109	35%	430	+/-103	62%	875	+/-149	45%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	25	+/-31		1,225	+/-219		690	+/-128		1,945	+/-274	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	85	+/-61	47%	250	+/-99	37%	65	+/-44	25%	400	+/-132	36%
	100-200%	30	+/-32	17%	165	+/-67	24%	80	+/-34	31%	275	+/-75	25%
	>200%	65	+/-40	36%	265	+/-104	39%	110	+/-45	43%	440	+/-114	39%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	180	+/-80		680	+/-158		255	+/-72		1,115	+/-186	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	135	+/-58	7%	1,640	+/-239	7%	185	+/-70	6%	1,960	+/-258	6%
	100-200%	440	+/-147	21%	3,290	+/-381	13%	710	+/-140	22%	4,445	+/-502	15%
	>200%	1,500	+/-172	72%	19,955	+/-590	80%	2,355	+/-192	72%	23,810	+/-718	79%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	2,075	+/-234		24,885	+/-742		3,250	+/-248		30,215	+/-429	
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	1,040	+/-275	12%	2,280	+/-266	8%	290	+/-93	7%	3,610	+/-479	9%
	100-200%	1,775	+/-349	21%	4,065	+/-465	15%	1,030	+/-180	24%	6,870	+/-692	17%
	>200%	5,750	+/-389	67%	20,965	+/-602	77%	2,930	+/-201	69%	29,640	+/-886	74%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	8,565	+/-591		27,310	+/-806		4,250	+/-285		40,120	+/-304	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Grand Isle

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty (ages 5 and older)	<100%	0	+/-13	0%	4	+/-3	5%	0	+/-13	0%	4	+/-3	3%
	100-200%	4	+/-10	29%	60	+/-60	71%	4	+/-4	29%	75	+/-60	65%
	>200%	10	+/-11	71%	20	+/-15	24%	10	+/-12	71%	40	+/-19	35%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	14	+/-20		84	+/-62		14	+/-18		115	+/-63	
Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	0	+/-13	0%	15	+/-13	14%	0	+/-13	0%	15	+/-13	8%
	100-200%	0	+/-13	0%	20	+/-20	19%	20	+/-15	20%	40	+/-23	20%
	>200%	0	+/-13	0%	70	+/-27	67%	80	+/-36	80%	145	+/-48	73%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	0	+/-23		105	+/-36		100	+/-41		200	+/-53	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	0	+/-13	0%	4	+/-13	5%	0	+/-13	0%	4	+/-13	3%
	100-200%	4	+/-7	50%	25	+/-20	32%	4	+/-4	12%	30	+/-26	25%
	>200%	4	+/-2	50%	50	+/-23	63%	30	+/-21	88%	85	+/-34	71%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	8	+/-15		79	+/-33		34	+/-25		120	+/-44	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	15	+/-13	6%	230	+/-86	6%	4	+/-13	1%	255	+/-91	5%
	100-200%	60	+/-32	24%	435	+/-100	11%	115	+/-51	17%	610	+/-131	13%
	>200%	180	+/-28	71%	3,155	+/-141	83%	540	+/-62	82%	3,875	+/-163	82%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	255	+/-44		3,820	+/-193		659	+/-81		4,740	+/-110	
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	95	+/-54	9%	250	+/-88	6%	4	+/-13	0%	355	+/-126	6%
	100-200%	295	+/-70	29%	545	+/-118	13%	145	+/-54	18%	985	+/-181	17%
	>200%	645	+/-78	62%	3,295	+/-140	81%	660	+/-61	82%	4,595	+/-199	77%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,035	+/-118		4,090	+/-203		809	+/-82		5,935	+/-102	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Lamoille

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty (ages 5 and older)	<100%	4	+/-1	33%	130	+/-100	57%	0	+/-17	0%	135	+/-101	51%
	100-200%	4	+/-17	33%	40	+/-36	17%	15	+/-16	60%	60	+/-38	23%
	>200%	4	+/-7	33%	60	+/-35	26%	10	+/-18	40%	75	+/-43	28%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	12	+/-18		230	+/-112		25	+/-29		265	+/-104	
Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	0	+/-17	0%	80	+/-48	21%	0	+/-17	0%	80	+/-48	13%
	100-200%	10	+/-13	40%	65	+/-30	17%	80	+/-46	39%	160	+/-55	26%
	>200%	15	+/-17	60%	235	+/-90	62%	125	+/-64	61%	375	+/-109	61%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	25	+/-27		380	+/-106		205	+/-81		615	+/-128	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	10	+/-14	22%	80	+/-52	25%	4	+/-7	4%	95	+/-55	20%
	100-200%	20	+/-23	44%	130	+/-54	41%	45	+/-34	48%	200	+/-84	43%
	>200%	15	+/-18	33%	110	+/-85	34%	45	+/-36	48%	170	+/-97	37%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	45	+/-32		320	+/-113		94	+/-50		465	+/-132	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	165	+/-61	18%	1,160	+/-197	9%	45	+/-42	2%	1,370	+/-223	9%
	100-200%	110	+/-51	12%	2,045	+/-286	16%	335	+/-92	18%	2,490	+/-313	16%
	>200%	620	+/-80	69%	9,260	+/-350	74%	1,490	+/-182	80%	11,375	+/-418	75%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	895	+/-113		12,465	+/-493		1,870	+/-208		15,235	+/-337	
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	675	+/-177	17%	1,455	+/-272	11%	50	+/-42	2%	2,180	+/-398	11%
	100-200%	875	+/-178	23%	2,285	+/-299	17%	475	+/-117	22%	3,635	+/-407	19%
	>200%	2,330	+/-227	60%	9,665	+/-353	72%	1,670	+/-182	76%	13,665	+/-516	70%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	3,880	+/-338		13,405	+/-537		2,195	+/-220		19,480	+/-279	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Orange

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty (ages 5 and older)	<100%	20	+/-32	59%	140	+/-83	35%	0	+/-17	0%	160	+/-90	31%
	100-200%	4	+/-17	12%	80	+/-33	20%	30	+/-21	35%	110	+/-37	21%
	>200%	10	+/-20	29%	180	+/-61	45%	55	+/-45	65%	250	+/-75	48%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	34	+/-41		400	+/-108		85	+/-52		520	+/-104	
Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	0	+/-17	0%	40	+/-21	7%	70	+/-32	16%	110	+/-39	10%
	100-200%	4	+/-12	29%	215	+/-100	36%	100	+/-68	22%	325	+/-122	30%
	>200%	10	+/-10	71%	350	+/-85	58%	275	+/-70	62%	635	+/-109	59%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	14	+/-23		605	+/-133		445	+/-103		1,070	+/-173	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	10	+/-14	25%	80	+/-44	21%	60	+/-62	28%	150	+/-79	24%
	100-200%	10	+/-13	25%	125	+/-54	33%	45	+/-28	21%	180	+/-61	28%
	>200%	20	+/-20	50%	175	+/-66	46%	110	+/-46	51%	305	+/-99	48%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	40	+/-28		380	+/-96		215	+/-82		635	+/-125	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	175	+/-90	16%	1,380	+/-204	9%	100	+/-39	4%	1,660	+/-258	9%
	100-200%	280	+/-77	26%	1,940	+/-256	13%	385	+/-89	15%	2,605	+/-311	14%
	>200%	610	+/-95	57%	11,215	+/-340	77%	2,020	+/-149	81%	13,845	+/-401	76%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,065	+/-152		14,535	+/-472		2,505	+/-178		18,110	+/-299	
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	685	+/-189	16%	1,645	+/-217	10%	230	+/-85	7%	2,560	+/-394	11%
	100-200%	1,230	+/-254	28%	2,360	+/-283	15%	560	+/-122	17%	4,150	+/-500	18%
	>200%	2,440	+/-233	56%	11,915	+/-339	75%	2,465	+/-165	76%	16,820	+/-510	71%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	4,355	+/-393		15,920	+/-492		3,255	+/-222		23,530	+/-228	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Orleans

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty (ages 5 and older)	<100%	4	+/-9	10%	125	+/-62	50%	0	+/-17	0%	130	+/-60	39%
	100-200%	10	+/-12	26%	35	+/-17	14%	15	+/-18	38%	60	+/-23	18%
	>200%	25	+/-22	64%	90	+/-34	36%	25	+/-23	63%	140	+/-43	42%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	39	+/-27		250	+/-73		40	+/-34		330	+/-74	
Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	25	+/-34	36%	150	+/-56	25%	25	+/-15	5%	200	+/-66	17%
	100-200%	40	+/-38	58%	140	+/-44	23%	235	+/-70	47%	420	+/-95	35%
	>200%	4	+/-7	6%	320	+/-73	52%	245	+/-54	49%	570	+/-90	48%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	69	+/-51		610	+/-102		505	+/-90		1,190	+/-130	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	20	+/-15	45%	200	+/-81	47%	30	+/-25	13%	250	+/-91	36%
	100-200%	4	+/-17	9%	100	+/-35	24%	80	+/-55	34%	180	+/-65	26%
	>200%	20	+/-18	45%	125	+/-46	29%	125	+/-74	53%	265	+/-88	38%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	44	+/-29		425	+/-100		235	+/-96		695	+/-132	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	120	+/-41	12%	1,420	+/-193	11%	175	+/-63	7%	1,710	+/-213	10%
	100-200%	240	+/-60	25%	2,460	+/-200	19%	750	+/-123	29%	3,455	+/-285	21%
	>200%	605	+/-69	63%	9,080	+/-329	70%	1,620	+/-134	64%	11,305	+/-377	69%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	965	+/-100		12,960	+/-431		2,545	+/-192		16,475	+/-292	
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	740	+/-178	18%	1,890	+/-234	13%	230	+/-70	7%	2,860	+/-339	13%
	100-200%	1,240	+/-194	30%	2,740	+/-206	19%	1,080	+/-150	33%	5,060	+/-422	23%
	>200%	2,125	+/-193	52%	9,615	+/-325	67%	2,010	+/-144	61%	13,755	+/-488	63%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	4,105	+/-326		14,245	+/-450		3,320	+/-219		21,675	+/-253	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Rutland

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty (ages 5 and older)	<100%	0	+/-22	0%	325	+/-118	40%	10	+/-15	9%	335	+/-118	32%
	100-200%	100	+/-77	83%	160	+/-84	20%	15	+/-17	14%	280	+/-141	27%
	>200%	20	+/-18	17%	330	+/-100	40%	85	+/-47	77%	435	+/-104	41%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	120	+/-82		815	+/-176		110	+/-52		1,050	+/-214	
Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	15	+/-21	25%	225	+/-77	19%	85	+/-43	10%	325	+/-95	15%
	100-200%	20	+/-19	33%	325	+/-97	27%	190	+/-74	21%	530	+/-128	25%
	>200%	25	+/-21	42%	640	+/-126	54%	615	+/-113	69%	1,280	+/-171	60%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	60	+/-35		1,190	+/-177		890	+/-142		2,135	+/-255	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	25	+/-21	19%	250	+/-76	29%	55	+/-38	12%	330	+/-88	23%
	100-200%	40	+/-28	30%	275	+/-92	32%	130	+/-53	28%	440	+/-121	30%
	>200%	70	+/-38	52%	340	+/-79	39%	285	+/-82	61%	695	+/-125	47%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	135	+/-52		865	+/-143		470	+/-105		1,465	+/-191	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	295	+/-70	15%	2,990	+/-422	10%	210	+/-83	4%	3,490	+/-449	9%
	100-200%	580	+/-120	29%	4,730	+/-457	16%	1,090	+/-183	19%	6,400	+/-580	17%
	>200%	1,135	+/-132	56%	22,245	+/-696	74%	4,305	+/-273	77%	27,685	+/-819	74%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	2,010	+/-192		29,965	+/-933		5,605	+/-339		37,575	+/-587	
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	1,270	+/-276	15%	3,790	+/-472	12%	365	+/-97	5%	5,420	+/-686	11%
	100-200%	2,565	+/-340	30%	5,490	+/-486	17%	1,420	+/-213	20%	9,475	+/-784	20%
	>200%	4,800	+/-411	56%	23,555	+/-712	72%	5,285	+/-291	75%	33,640	+/-1104	69%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	8,635	+/-601		32,835	+/-983		7,070	+/-373		48,540	+/-469	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Washington

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)											
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty <i>(ages 5 and older)</i>	<100%	15	+/-18	60%	120	+/-73	23%	4	+/-22	14%	135	+/-77	24%
	100-200%	0	+/-22	0%	170	+/-97	33%	4	+/-22	14%	170	+/-97	30%
	>200%	10	+/-15	40%	230	+/-80	44%	20	+/-23	71%	260	+/-85	46%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	25	+/-32		520	+/-145		28	+/-39		565	+/-147	
Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	0	+/-22	0%	155	+/-65	20%	30	+/-21	4%	185	+/-69	12%
	100-200%	10	+/-16	25%	135	+/-63	17%	150	+/-57	21%	290	+/-96	19%
	>200%	30	+/-32	75%	500	+/-111	63%	520	+/-101	74%	1,050	+/-174	69%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	40	+/-42		790	+/-143		700	+/-118		1,525	+/-216	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	15	+/-18	14%	180	+/-103	24%	15	+/-17	6%	215	+/-108	20%
	100-200%	15	+/-18	14%	310	+/-144	42%	70	+/-43	30%	395	+/-147	36%
	>200%	75	+/-42	71%	255	+/-72	34%	150	+/-67	64%	480	+/-116	44%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	105	+/-49		745	+/-191		235	+/-81		1,090	+/-211	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty <i>(ages 15 and older)</i>	<100%	195	+/-71	9%	2,180	+/-338	7%	150	+/-74	3%	2,520	+/-371	7%
	100-200%	545	+/-156	25%	3,870	+/-435	13%	880	+/-191	18%	5,295	+/-551	14%
	>200%	1,430	+/-147	66%	23,580	+/-676	80%	3,785	+/-278	79%	28,790	+/-800	79%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	2,170	+/-226		29,630	+/-872		4,815	+/-345		36,610	+/-566	
TOTAL individuals in age category <i>(ages 5 and older with any disability status)</i>	<100%	935	+/-189	11%	2,635	+/-363	8%	200	+/-80	3%	3,770	+/-467	8%
	100-200%	1,640	+/-326	19%	4,480	+/-488	14%	1,100	+/-219	19%	7,225	+/-769	16%
	>200%	6,275	+/-356	71%	24,560	+/-660	78%	4,480	+/-308	78%	35,315	+/-921	76%
	TOTAL (all income levels)	8,850	+/-518		31,675	+/-898		5,780	+/-386		46,310	+/-487	

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Windham

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)										
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)	
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-
Cognitive Difficulty (ages 5 and older)	<100%	45	+/-41	45%	195	+/-75	25%	10	+/-23	22%	250	+/-90
	100-200%	25	+/-24	25%	175	+/-70	23%	10	+/-17	22%	215	+/-81
	>200%	30	+/-37	30%	400	+/-123	52%	25	+/-21	56%	455	+/-126
	TOTAL (all income levels)	100	+/-60		770	+/-160		45	+/-35		920	+/-199
Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	15	+/-29	18%	205	+/-78	24%	15	+/-13	2%	235	+/-93
	100-200%	10	+/-17	12%	225	+/-83	26%	215	+/-64	30%	450	+/-108
	>200%	60	+/-65	71%	440	+/-122	51%	480	+/-112	68%	985	+/-171
	TOTAL (all income levels)	85	+/-73		870	+/-167		710	+/-130		1,670	+/-210
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	35	+/-39	58%	240	+/-89	36%	40	+/-49	17%	315	+/-124
	100-200%	15	+/-26	25%	195	+/-79	29%	40	+/-30	17%	250	+/-83
	>200%	10	+/-15	17%	240	+/-71	36%	160	+/-65	67%	415	+/-104
	TOTAL (all income levels)	60	+/-49		675	+/-139		240	+/-87		980	+/-134
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	140	+/-63	9%	1,760	+/-236	8%	175	+/-92	4%	2,080	+/-299
	100-200%	345	+/-83	23%	3,510	+/-401	17%	720	+/-175	19%	4,575	+/-485
	>200%	1,040	+/-124	68%	15,475	+/-499	75%	2,995	+/-232	77%	19,510	+/-586
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,525	+/-162		20,745	+/-682		3,890	+/-305		26,165	+/-461
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	895	+/-162	14%	2,400	+/-288	10%	240	+/-109	5%	3,535	+/-403
	100-200%	1,790	+/-296	28%	4,105	+/-452	18%	985	+/-209	20%	6,880	+/-707
	>200%	3,660	+/-301	58%	16,565	+/-509	72%	3,665	+/-258	75%	23,885	+/-697
	TOTAL (all income levels)	6,345	+/-452		23,070	+/-739		4,890	+/-349		34,300	+/-382

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

Windsor

Disability Type	income level (FPL)	Age (years)										
		5-17			18-64			65+			Total (ages 5 and older)	
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-
Cognitive Difficulty (ages 5 and older)	<100%	25	+/-26	13%	200	+/-93	31%	4	+/-12	3%	230	+/-106
	100-200%	95	+/-93	48%	90	+/-51	14%	60	+/-64	50%	245	+/-126
	>200%	80	+/-82	40%	355	+/-113	55%	55	+/-49	46%	490	+/-149
	TOTAL (all income levels)	200	+/-127		645	+/-155		119	+/-81		965	+/-185
Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	10	+/-19	17%	170	+/-72	15%	65	+/-51	7%	245	+/-91
	100-200%	30	+/-28	50%	305	+/-115	28%	220	+/-89	25%	555	+/-168
	>200%	20	+/-27	33%	630	+/-144	57%	605	+/-136	68%	1,255	+/-192
	TOTAL (all income levels)	60	+/-43		1,105	+/-198		890	+/-170		2,055	+/-263
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	15	+/-20	11%	285	+/-116	30%	25	+/-23	6%	325	+/-119
	100-200%	15	+/-17	11%	275	+/-103	28%	70	+/-34	16%	355	+/-102
	>200%	105	+/-59	78%	405	+/-125	42%	345	+/-128	78%	860	+/-203
	TOTAL (all income levels)	135	+/-65		965	+/-199		440	+/-134		1,545	+/-271
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	<100%	120	+/-72	7%	1,815	+/-292	7%	165	+/-59	3%	2,100	+/-333
	100-200%	335	+/-106	18%	3,480	+/-405	13%	875	+/-187	15%	4,695	+/-479
	>200%	1,365	+/-136	75%	21,660	+/-687	80%	4,645	+/-339	82%	27,665	+/-725
	TOTAL (all income levels)	1,820	+/-187		26,955	+/-849		5,685	+/-392		34,460	+/-657
TOTAL individuals in age category (ages 5 and older with any disability status)	<100%	915	+/-262	11%	2,470	+/-335	8%	260	+/-84	4%	3,645	+/-534
	100-200%	1,655	+/-310	20%	4,150	+/-447	14%	1,225	+/-249	17%	7,025	+/-675
	>200%	5,665	+/-319	69%	23,050	+/-647	78%	5,650	+/-337	79%	34,360	+/-772
	TOTAL (all income levels)	8,235	+/-516		29,670	+/-855		7,135	+/-427		45,035	+/-463

Data source: ACS, 2009-2013 estimates (custom data table: S45103_050 for counties and S45103_040 for state)

Data are estimates for the population for whom disabilities are asked and live in households with two or more people and household income level is determined

C. Disabled Individuals

6. Health Insurance Coverage

State and County data

Universe (population): Total population for whom disabilities were asked.

C6: Health Insurance Coverage and Payer by Disability Type				
Disability type	Insurance Coverage and Payer	Vermont		
		Individuals	MOE +/-	%
Cognitive Difficulty only (ages 5 and older)	With Health Insurance Coverage (insured)	11,200	+/-626	90%
	Private Coverage, No Public Coverage	2,760	+/-296	22%
	Private Coverage and Medicare	1,235	+/-215	10%
	Public Coverage, No Private Coverage	6,660	+/-541	54%
	Medicare, No Medicaid	1,655	+/-230	13%
	Medicaid, No Medicare	4,660	+/-421	38%
	Both Medicare and Medicaid	1,865	+/-251	15%
	Without Health Insurance Coverage (uninsured)	1,205	+/-209	10%
	TOTAL (insured + uninsured)	12,405	+/-676	
Functional Difficulty only (ages 15 and older)	With Health Insurance Coverage (insured)	29,855	+/-877	97%
	Private Coverage, No Public Coverage	4,680	+/-423	15%
	Private Coverage and Medicare	10,625	+/-550	34%
	Public Coverage, No Private Coverage	13,670	+/-659	44%
	Medicare, No Medicaid	12,525	+/-543	41%
	Medicaid, No Medicare	4,300	+/-357	14%
	Both Medicare and Medicaid	7,840	+/-523	25%
	Without Health Insurance Coverage (uninsured)	995	+/-197	3%
	TOTAL (insured + uninsured)	30,845	+/-868	
Cognitive & Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	With Health Insurance Coverage (insured)	21,410	+/-787	97%
	Private Coverage, No Public Coverage	1,240	+/-210	6%
	Private Coverage and Medicare	5,325	+/-423	24%
	Public Coverage, No Private Coverage	13,845	+/-693	63%
	Medicare, No Medicaid	6,230	+/-515	28%
	Medicaid, No Medicare	6,140	+/-423	28%
	Both Medicare and Medicaid	7,630	+/-484	35%
	Without Health Insurance Coverage (uninsured)	670	+/-177	3%
	TOTAL (insured + uninsured)	22,080	+/-819	
No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty (ages 15 and older)	With Health Insurance Coverage (insured)	418,045	+/-1,976	91%
	Private Coverage, No Public Coverage	280,480	+/-2,389	61%
	Private Coverage and Medicare	49,700	+/-921	11%
	Public Coverage, No Private Coverage	77,585	+/-1,732	17%
	Medicare, No Medicaid	63,530	+/-805	14%
	Medicaid, No Medicare	59,385	+/-1,613	13%
	Both Medicare and Medicaid	10,415	+/-525	2%
	Without Health Insurance Coverage (uninsured)	41,200	+/-1,427	9%

	TOTAL (insured + uninsured)	459,245	+/-1,446	
TOTAL (ages 5 and older; any disability status)	With Health Insurance Coverage (insured)	548,740	+/-1,697	92%
	Private Coverage, No Public Coverage	325,985	+/-3,000	55%
	Private Coverage and Medicare	66,930	+/-953	11%
	Public Coverage, No Private Coverage	138,515	+/-2,460	23%
	Medicare, No Medicaid	84,085	+/-850	14%
	Medicaid, No Medicare	105,470	+/-2,409	18%
	Both Medicare and Medicaid	27,975	+/-797	5%
	Without Health Insurance Coverage (uninsured)	45,930	+/-1,687	8%
	TOTAL (insured + uninsured)	594,665	+/-189	

Data source: Custom ACS data requested from the U.S. Census Bureau (not publicly available), 2009-2013

'Individuals' refers to estimated number of individuals, based on Census data.

A margin of error (MOE) accompanies each data estimate shown above. The MOE is a data precision measure. 'Percent' column (%) refers to percent of 'Total' population within disability group (e.g. Cognitive Difficulty

'Total' refers to the total number of individuals who were asked one or more of the ACS disability questions: 'Cognitive Difficulty', 'Functional Difficulty', and 'No Cognitive or Functional Difficulty' rows, and the 'Total' (individuals aged 5 years and older)

Disability Category:	Population age restriction (individuals)
Cognitive Difficulty only	5 years and older (1 question)
Functional Difficulty only	15 years and older (1 question)
Cognitive Difficulty & Functional Difficulty	15 years and older (that answered both questions)
No Cognitive Difficulty or Functional Difficulty	15 years and older (that answered both questions)
Total	5 years and older (that answered both questions)

Addison			Bennington			Caledonia			Chittenden		
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
490	+/-105	85%	815	+/-185	98%	910	+/-205	92%	2,120	+/-450	85%
155	+/-53	27%	120	+/-48	14%	245	+/-137	25%	715	+/-180	25%
50	+/-23	9%	85	+/-72	10%	95	+/-46	10%	145	+/-35	10%
255	+/-74	44%	560	+/-158	67%	565	+/-138	57%	1,175	+/-300	57%
80	+/-39	14%	115	+/-79	14%	125	+/-59	13%	295	+/-80	13%
175	+/-61	30%	415	+/-128	50%	230	+/-88	23%	830	+/-180	23%
65	+/-35	11%	155	+/-81	19%	295	+/-97	30%	260	+/-60	30%
85	+/-49	15%	15	+/-19	2%	80	+/-51	8%	250	+/-50	8%
575	+/-121		830	+/-185		990	+/-215		2,370	+/-480	
1,600	+/-203	95%	2,260	+/-232	97%	1,645	+/-213	95%	5,510	+/-600	95%
270	+/-81	16%	360	+/-146	15%	185	+/-58	11%	1,205	+/-220	11%
565	+/-89	34%	790	+/-125	34%	525	+/-110	30%	2,125	+/-350	30%
730	+/-137	43%	1,085	+/-181	46%	885	+/-160	51%	1,980	+/-400	51%
690	+/-109	41%	885	+/-141	38%	755	+/-150	43%	2,435	+/-450	43%
290	+/-75	17%	325	+/-114	14%	190	+/-66	11%	510	+/-100	11%
335	+/-83	20%	670	+/-132	29%	480	+/-120	28%	1,270	+/-250	28%
80	+/-46	5%	75	+/-47	3%	95	+/-47	5%	90	+/-40	5%
1,680	+/-205		2,335	+/-234		1,740	+/-216		5,605	+/-620	
1,215	+/-185	94%	1,595	+/-235	99%	1,490	+/-194	98%	4,405	+/-500	98%
60	+/-29	5%	115	+/-63	7%	40	+/-30	3%	230	+/-40	3%
320	+/-83	25%	365	+/-97	23%	310	+/-104	20%	1,175	+/-200	20%
785	+/-147	61%	1,015	+/-210	63%	1,020	+/-196	67%	2,755	+/-450	67%
450	+/-115	35%	335	+/-115	21%	425	+/-106	28%	1,360	+/-250	28%
380	+/-117	29%	615	+/-158	38%	535	+/-120	35%	1,365	+/-250	35%
325	+/-76	25%	490	+/-125	31%	490	+/-124	32%	1,435	+/-280	32%
75	+/-57	6%	15	+/-16	1%	25	+/-21	2%	135	+/-20	2%
1,290	+/-184		1,605	+/-233		1,520	+/-194		4,540	+/-520	
25,035	+/-391	91%	24,290	+/-483	93%	18,840	+/-428	87%	112,015	+/-2,000	87%
16,790	+/-449	61%	14,005	+/-525	53%	11,685	+/-445	54%	85,625	+/-1,500	54%
2,940	+/-174	11%	3,945	+/-210	15%	2,015	+/-167	9%	10,300	+/-200	9%
4,755	+/-320	17%	5,630	+/-471	21%	4,635	+/-359	22%	14,130	+/-300	22%
3,710	+/-162	13%	4,790	+/-203	18%	3,005	+/-177	14%	12,645	+/-250	14%
3,665	+/-316	13%	4,460	+/-466	17%	3,505	+/-331	16%	11,125	+/-250	16%
635	+/-121	2%	690	+/-173	3%	445	+/-101	2%	1,945	+/-40	2%
2,575	+/-266	9%	1,960	+/-326	7%	2,710	+/-246	13%	8,295	+/-180	13%

27,610	+/-279		26,250	+/-383		21,550	+/-330		120,310
32,255	+/-288	92%	32,895	+/-355	94%	26,590	+/-268	90%	140,910
19,060	+/-516	54%	16,255	+/-627	46%	13,955	+/-503	47%	99,155
3,880	+/-181	11%	5,185	+/-204	15%	2,945	+/-183	10%	13,760
8,390	+/-480	24%	10,235	+/-579	29%	8,810	+/-506	30%	24,610
4,930	+/-150	14%	6,125	+/-195	17%	4,305	+/-140	15%	16,745
6,640	+/-437	19%	8,080	+/-585	23%	6,350	+/-420	22%	19,260
1,360	+/-166	4%	2,010	+/-267	6%	1,720	+/-195	6%	4,950
2,930	+/-288	8%	2,115	+/-344	6%	2,940	+/-271	10%	8,990
35,185	+/-22		35,010	+/-67		29,530	+/-39		149,900

five-year estimates. For further information on data and variables used, see Technical Notes.

measure that indicates the range of values expected to contain the true value of an estimate, with a level of confidence.

is (5 years or older, or 15 years or older, see notes below). The ACS asks the 'Cognitive Difficulty' question and the 'Functional Difficulty' question. The sum of individual subcategories does not equal the sum of individual subcategories.

Individuals whom disability question/s are asked in ACS):

and 5 years and older (2 questions)

asked all three 'functional difficulty' questions)

asked all three 'functional difficulty' questions)

asked 1 or more of the four cognitive/functional difficulty ACS questions)

Attenden		Essex			Franklin			Grand Isle		
MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%
+/-304	89%	75	+/-23	94%	700	+/-137	89%	120	+/-63	96%
+/-172	30%	10	+/-10	13%	265	+/-80	34%	35	+/-20	28%
+/-60	6%	20	+/-15	25%	65	+/-34	8%	4	+/-8	3%
+/-238	50%	45	+/-19	56%	355	+/-86	45%	80	+/-65	64%
+/-113	12%	30	+/-16	38%	85	+/-36	11%	20	+/-14	16%
+/-189	35%	20	+/-13	25%	280	+/-81	35%	65	+/-64	52%
+/-92	11%	15	+/-10	19%	55	+/-30	7%	4	+/-4	3%
+/-128	11%	10	+/-14	13%	90	+/-81	11%	4	+/-2	3%
+/-344		80	+/-24		790	+/-145		125	+/-63	
+/-502	98%	510	+/-72	95%	2,565	+/-296	97%	280	+/-56	100%
+/-256	21%	55	+/-24	10%	390	+/-100	15%	25	+/-21	9%
+/-268	38%	155	+/-32	29%	790	+/-136	30%	130	+/-40	46%
+/-279	35%	290	+/-61	54%	1,265	+/-216	48%	120	+/-37	43%
+/-309	43%	225	+/-46	42%	820	+/-146	31%	145	+/-41	52%
+/-120	9%	115	+/-36	21%	530	+/-164	20%	20	+/-16	7%
+/-226	23%	120	+/-33	22%	755	+/-145	29%	85	+/-28	30%
+/-83	2%	25	+/-17	5%	80	+/-52	3%	0	+/-13	0%
+/-505		535	+/-77		2,645	+/-305		280	+/-56	
+/-451	97%	220	+/-50	100%	1,565	+/-213	95%	160	+/-51	100%
+/-99	5%	20	+/-14	9%	170	+/-84	10%	25	+/-18	16%
+/-213	26%	65	+/-22	30%	360	+/-119	22%	55	+/-30	34%
+/-396	61%	130	+/-39	59%	955	+/-183	58%	60	+/-29	38%
+/-270	30%	75	+/-25	34%	395	+/-125	24%	50	+/-29	31%
+/-299	30%	55	+/-29	25%	415	+/-95	25%	50	+/-29	31%
+/-238	32%	70	+/-29	32%	585	+/-139	36%	40	+/-22	25%
+/-107	3%	0	+/-13	0%	75	+/-45	5%	0	+/-13	0%
+/-499		220	+/-50		1,640	+/-217		160	+/-51	
+/-1,004	93%	3,865	+/-126	86%	30,725	+/-571	91%	4,930	+/-128	92%
+/-1,321	71%	2,075	+/-126	46%	21,120	+/-643	63%	3,280	+/-150	61%
+/-462	9%	550	+/-51	12%	3,190	+/-228	9%	635	+/-65	12%
+/-782	12%	1,140	+/-89	25%	5,695	+/-440	17%	880	+/-143	16%
+/-388	11%	855	+/-54	19%	3,835	+/-235	11%	755	+/-68	14%
+/-806	9%	680	+/-79	15%	4,760	+/-448	14%	795	+/-148	15%
+/-248	2%	185	+/-42	4%	815	+/-128	2%	90	+/-32	2%
+/-695	7%	630	+/-96	14%	2,950	+/-391	9%	420	+/-79	8%

+/-726		4,495	+/-101		33,670	+/-388		5,345	+/-103	
+/-750	94%	5,305	+/-105	88%	41,620	+/-451	92%	6,255	+/-76	94%
+/-1,499	66%	2,400	+/-142	40%	25,450	+/-739	57%	3,730	+/-188	56%
+/-471	9%	785	+/-64	13%	4,400	+/-231	10%	825	+/-66	12%
+/-1,194	16%	1,935	+/-134	32%	10,445	+/-664	23%	1,495	+/-190	22%
+/-385	11%	1,185	+/-56	20%	5,175	+/-241	11%	970	+/-60	15%
+/-1,106	13%	1,265	+/-110	21%	8,490	+/-661	19%	1,320	+/-186	20%
+/-399	3%	390	+/-54	7%	2,230	+/-242	5%	225	+/-50	3%
+/-752	6%	690	+/-101	12%	3,400	+/-442	8%	425	+/-80	6%
+/-32		5,995	+/-17		45,020	+/-92		6,675	+/-12	

confidence of 90 percent. For example, if the population estimate is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then we can be 90 pe

d two of three of the 'Functional Difficulty' questions of individuals aged 5 years and older, while one of three 'Fu

Lamoille			Orange			Orleans			
Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals
280	+/-81	89%	575	+/-115	93%	455	+/-82	90%	1,255
55	+/-38	17%	115	+/-45	19%	70	+/-36	14%	245
30	+/-27	10%	75	+/-45	12%	50	+/-32	10%	130
175	+/-69	56%	370	+/-96	60%	330	+/-82	65%	770
50	+/-42	16%	90	+/-49	15%	90	+/-42	18%	215
145	+/-60	46%	260	+/-84	42%	190	+/-64	38%	585
20	+/-25	6%	95	+/-40	15%	95	+/-45	19%	180
35	+/-43	11%	40	+/-31	7%	50	+/-39	10%	160
315	+/-107		615	+/-119		505	+/-93		1,415
980	+/-164	95%	1,525	+/-193	98%	1,815	+/-144	96%	3,240
135	+/-55	13%	210	+/-68	14%	190	+/-47	10%	425
370	+/-109	36%	520	+/-101	33%	500	+/-73	26%	1,220
450	+/-94	44%	700	+/-142	45%	1,085	+/-141	57%	1,475
470	+/-118	46%	625	+/-104	40%	720	+/-89	38%	1,395
150	+/-57	15%	245	+/-73	16%	365	+/-102	19%	510
215	+/-81	21%	380	+/-86	24%	515	+/-77	27%	840
50	+/-43	5%	30	+/-18	2%	75	+/-40	4%	125
1,030	+/-168		1,555	+/-193		1,890	+/-152		3,365
655	+/-158	98%	855	+/-142	99.4%	1,120	+/-144	95%	2,275
50	+/-42	8%	30	+/-19	3%	50	+/-36	4%	145
90	+/-40	14%	215	+/-62	25%	225	+/-80	19%	625
510	+/-152	77%	585	+/-120	68%	825	+/-127	70%	1,405
100	+/-41	15%	235	+/-59	27%	380	+/-100	32%	660
185	+/-75	28%	200	+/-68	23%	195	+/-54	16%	520
320	+/-132	48%	370	+/-102	43%	495	+/-127	42%	885
10	+/-15	2%	4	+/-8	0.5%	65	+/-43	5%	35
665	+/-159		860	+/-142		1,185	+/-155		2,310
15,930	+/-400	87%	18,965	+/-396	90%	16,440	+/-301	86%	40,810
10,330	+/-464	57%	12,260	+/-428	58%	8,950	+/-361	47%	24,940
1,670	+/-201	9%	2,400	+/-158	11%	2,185	+/-147	11%	5,525
3,600	+/-342	20%	3,605	+/-315	17%	4,820	+/-321	25%	8,870
2,510	+/-171	14%	3,060	+/-165	14%	3,070	+/-189	16%	7,125
2,495	+/-309	14%	2,925	+/-295	14%	3,350	+/-277	18%	7,100
485	+/-133	3%	465	+/-100	2%	830	+/-111	4%	1,165
2,300	+/-307	13%	2,185	+/-273	10%	2,590	+/-230	14%	4,115

18,230	+/-265		21,150	+/-260		19,030	+/-238		44,920
20,675	+/-339	89%	25,180	+/-297	91%	22,915	+/-237	89%	53,840
11,900	+/-563	51%	14,100	+/-515	51%	10,175	+/-439	39%	28,670
2,160	+/-224	9%	3,210	+/-161	12%	2,960	+/-167	11%	7,505
5,925	+/-481	26%	6,815	+/-448	25%	8,955	+/-446	35%	15,350
3,130	+/-173	14%	4,030	+/-152	15%	4,275	+/-214	17%	9,415
4,480	+/-436	19%	5,355	+/-423	19%	6,220	+/-379	24%	12,010
1,050	+/-179	5%	1,340	+/-171	5%	1,945	+/-215	8%	3,085
2,510	+/-345	11%	2,350	+/-291	9%	2,865	+/-239	11%	4,710
23,185	+/-49		27,530	+/-25		25,775	+/-39		58,550

percent certain that the range established by the margin of error, namely 80 to 120 in this example, contains the

functional Difficulty' questions is asked of individuals aged 15 years and older. For this reason, some of the persons

Rutland		Washington			Windham			Windsor	
MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-	%	Individuals	MOE +/-
+/-225	89%	765	+/-162	85%	1,405	+/-276	95%	1,235	+/-229
+/-90	17%	120	+/-41	13%	380	+/-104	26%	240	+/-84
+/-54	9%	130	+/-77	14%	160	+/-100	11%	195	+/-110
+/-166	54%	485	+/-135	54%	810	+/-225	55%	680	+/-191
+/-65	15%	135	+/-80	15%	150	+/-98	10%	175	+/-87
+/-193	41%	325	+/-130	36%	640	+/-199	43%	505	+/-139
+/-71	13%	185	+/-71	20%	175	+/-85	12%	255	+/-128
+/-75	11%	140	+/-90	15%	75	+/-54	5%	175	+/-81
+/-239		905	+/-195		1,485	+/-280		1,410	+/-240
+/-307	96%	2,395	+/-261	98%	2,400	+/-223	97%	3,125	+/-302
+/-98	13%	375	+/-99	15%	340	+/-100	14%	510	+/-122
+/-192	36%	900	+/-142	37%	940	+/-132	38%	1,105	+/-209
+/-216	44%	1,065	+/-174	44%	1,080	+/-188	44%	1,465	+/-221
+/-196	41%	1,045	+/-171	43%	1,085	+/-148	44%	1,225	+/-187
+/-138	15%	295	+/-76	12%	315	+/-114	13%	440	+/-123
+/-156	25%	665	+/-141	27%	640	+/-137	26%	875	+/-176
+/-69	4%	45	+/-38	2%	75	+/-39	3%	150	+/-92
+/-311		2,440	+/-268		2,480	+/-229		3,275	+/-324
+/-232	98%	1,960	+/-269	98%	1,590	+/-198	97%	2,305	+/-338
+/-55	6%	110	+/-67	5%	75	+/-38	5%	115	+/-71
+/-110	27%	490	+/-132	24%	360	+/-106	22%	670	+/-164
+/-188	61%	1,265	+/-246	63%	1,115	+/-206	68%	1,420	+/-243
+/-109	29%	515	+/-140	26%	480	+/-114	29%	780	+/-191
+/-105	23%	530	+/-173	26%	565	+/-141	35%	535	+/-137
+/-149	38%	805	+/-179	40%	465	+/-128	28%	855	+/-171
+/-25	2%	45	+/-40	2%	45	+/-43	3%	140	+/-66
+/-236		2,005	+/-277		1,635	+/-199		2,450	+/-351
+/-609	91%	40,950	+/-541	92%	28,480	+/-442	89%	36,770	+/-639
+/-652	56%	28,050	+/-700	63%	17,725	+/-626	56%	23,650	+/-653
+/-278	12%	4,580	+/-268	10%	4,180	+/-220	13%	5,590	+/-356
+/-596	20%	7,350	+/-566	17%	6,000	+/-429	19%	6,480	+/-565
+/-258	16%	6,115	+/-300	14%	5,035	+/-224	16%	7,025	+/-359
+/-589	16%	5,315	+/-490	12%	4,815	+/-436	15%	4,395	+/-486
+/-174	3%	985	+/-132	2%	640	+/-116	2%	1,045	+/-199
+/-404	9%	3,430	+/-386	8%	3,350	+/-354	11%	3,700	+/-386

+/-448		44,380	+/-416		31,830	+/-346		40,470	+/-513
+/-501	92%	52,480	+/-448	93%	38,495	+/-399	91%	49,330	+/-461
+/-794	49%	32,655	+/-832	58%	20,595	+/-715	49%	27,880	+/-821
+/-291	13%	6,100	+/-320	11%	5,645	+/-247	13%	7,560	+/-347
+/-797	26%	12,075	+/-811	21%	11,275	+/-580	27%	12,200	+/-820
+/-256	16%	7,805	+/-275	14%	6,755	+/-193	16%	9,235	+/-324
+/-743	21%	8,840	+/-687	16%	8,840	+/-589	21%	8,325	+/-655
+/-246	5%	2,670	+/-244	5%	1,930	+/-212	5%	3,075	+/-337
+/-496	8%	3,930	+/-444	7%	3,660	+/-381	9%	4,420	+/-437
+/-34		56,410	+/-77		42,150	+/-61		53,750	+/-94

e population value. See more information in Technical Notes.

ons included in the 'Total' row (5-14 year-olds) are excluded from the 'Functional Difficulty', 'Cognitive or Funct.

%
88%
17%
14%
48%
12%
36%
18%
12%
95%
16%
34%
45%
37%
13%
27%
5%
94%
5%
27%
58%
32%
22%
35%
6%
91%
58%
14%
16%
17%
11%
3%
9%

92%
52%
14%
23%
17%
15%
6%
8%

ional

C. Disabled Individuals

7. Obesity and diabetes

State data

Universe (population): Total adult (≥ 18 years) population in Vermont

1. Obesity Rates by Disability Status

Disability Status	Not Overweight/ Obese (BMI 25-30)		Overweight (BMI 25-30)	
	Individuals	%	Individuals	%
Cognitive Difficulty only	9,490	46%	4,922	24%
Functional Difficulty only	9,562	23%	12,076	29%
Cognitive and Functional Difficulty	6,998	35%	5,914	29%
Neither Cognitive nor Functional Difficulty	156,192	42%	135,133	36%
Overall *	182,242	40%	158,046	35%

Data source: BRFSS 2015 data, variables _BMI5CAT for weight groups (obesity); Cognitive Difficulty (DECIL DIFFALON) for disability status; see further details in Technical Notes. The disability categories were constructed to construct our disability variable for ACS data.

Data reflect estimated numbers of individuals in each category in the state of Vermont, based on 6,489 responses to estimate the total number of individuals in Vermont; see further details in Technical Notes.

BMI = Body Mass Index.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of individuals in BMI/obesity category for each disability category.

* 'Overall' row counts and 'Total' column counts do not include individuals in the categories "Don't know", questions (149-188 survey responses for each of the four questions; weighted equivalent of 14,213-17,613 all four questions for 125 survey respondents, a weighted equivalent of 12,849 individuals, or 2.5% of the responses; weighted equivalent of 42,742 or 8% of the total Vermont population). Data was missing for bc responses (weighted equivalent of 9,037 individuals, or 1.8% of the total Vermont population), and for functional obesity, for 80 survey responses (weighted equivalent of 8,320 individuals, or 1.6% of the total Vermont population).

2. Diabetes by Disability Status

Disability Status	No Diabetes		Pre-diabetes	
	Individuals	%	Individuals	%
Cognitive Difficulty only	19,243	91%	60	0.3%
Functional Difficulty only	31,251	72%	727	2%
Cognitive and Functional Difficulty	17,332	85%	402	2%
Neither Cognitive nor Functional Difficulty	370,609	93%	2,972	1%
Overall **	438,435	91%	4,161	1%

Data source: BRFSS 2015 data, variables DIABETE3 for diabetes ("ever told you have diabetes"); Cognitive Difficulty (DECIL DIFFDRES, DIFFALON) for disability status; see further details in Technical Notes. The disability categories were identical to those used to construct our disability variable for ACS data.

Data reflect estimated numbers of individuals in each category in the state of Vermont, based on 6,489 responses.

to estimate the total number of individuals in Vermont; see further details in Technical Notes.

* 'Diabetes' count does not include individuals reporting diabetes during pregnancy.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of individuals in diabetes category for each disability category.

** 'Overall' row counts and 'Total' column counts do not include individuals in the categories "Don't know" questions (149-188 survey responses for each of the four questions; weighted equivalent of 14,213-17,613 all four questions for 125 survey respondents, a weighted equivalent of 12,849 individuals, or 2.5% of the responses; weighted equivalent of 693 or 0.1% of the total Vermont population), or individuals in the diabetes responses; weighted equivalent of 3,667 or 0.7% of total population). No data was missing for both cognitive three questions answered) and diabetes.

3. Obesity and Diabetes Rates by Disability Status				
Disability Status	Overweight or Obese only BMI \geq 25, No diabetes		Diabetes* only Not Overweight/Obese	
	Individuals	%	Individuals	%
Cognitive Difficulty only	9,054	44%	81	0.4%
Functional Difficulty only	20,353	50%	743	2%
Cognitive and Functional Difficulty	10,134	52%	418	2%
Neither Cognitive nor Functional Difficulty	193,143	52%	3,097	1%
Overall *	232,684	63%	4,338	1%

Data source: BRFSS 2015 data, variables DIABETE3 for diabetes ("ever told you have diabetes"); _BMI5CA1 (DIFFWALK, DIFFDRES, DIFFALON) for disability status; see further details in Technical Notes. The disability construct our disability variable for ACS data.

Data reflect estimated numbers of individuals in each category in the state of Vermont, based on 6,489 respondents in Vermont; see further details in Technical Notes.

* 'Diabetes' count does not include individuals with pre-diabetes or diabetes during pregnancy.

Percent column (%) refers to percent of individuals in obesity/diabetes category for each disability category.

* 'Overall' row counts and 'Total' column counts do not include individuals in the categories "Don't know", of the four questions; weighted equivalent of 14,213-17,613 or 3% of the total Vermont population; data for individuals, or 2.5% of the total Vermont population) and/or obesity (481 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 693 or 0.1% of the total Vermont population), or individuals in the diabetes category (total population). Data is presented for individuals who had data available for all three variables (cognitive was missing for one or more variables for 633 survey responses (weighted equivalent of 55,161 individuals

Obese (BMI >30)		Total respondents * Individuals in disability category with obesity data	
Individuals	%	Individuals	
6,168	30%	20,580	
19,313	47%	40,951	
7,145	36%	20,057	
80,836	22%	372,161	
113,462	25%	453,750	

Difficulty (DECIDE) and Functional Difficulty (DIFFWALK, DIFFDRES,
were constructed using survey questions that are identical to those

responses to the BRFSS survey. Responses were weighed

"Refused" or "Missing" for individual disability
or 3% of the total Vermont population; data missing for
total Vermont population) and/or obesity (481 survey
with cognitive difficulty and obesity, for 89 survey
functional difficulty (all three questions answered) and
population).

Diabetes *		Total respondents ** Individuals in disability category with diabetes data	
Individuals	%	Individuals	
1,868	9%	21,171	
11,441	26%	43,418	
2,720	13%	20,454	
23,814	6%	397,395	
39,843	8%	482,439	

Difficulty (DECIDE) and Functional Difficulty (DIFFWALK,
were constructed using survey questions that are

responses to the BRFSS survey. Responses were weighed

' "Refused" or "Missing" for individual disability
 or 3% of the total Vermont population; data missing for
 total Vermont population) and/or diabetes (8 survey
 diabetes category "Yes, during pregnancy" (49 survey
 difficulty and diabetes, or functional difficulty (all

Both Overweight/Obese and Diabetes		Neither Overweight/Obese nor Diabetes		Total respondents * Individuals in disability category with obesity and diabetes data
Individuals	%	Individuals	%	Individuals
1,787	9%	9,409	46%	20,391
9,952	25%	8,629	21%	40,404
2,147	11%	6,450	33%	19,479
19,267	5%	151,493	41%	369,771
33,153	9%	175,981	48%	450,044

for weight groups (obesity); Cognitive Difficulty (DECIDE) and Functional Difficulty
 categories were constructed using survey questions that are identical to those used to

responses to the BRFSS survey. Responses were weighed to estimate the total number of

y.

"Refused" or "Missing" for individual disability questions (149-188 survey responses for each
 missing for all four questions for 125 survey respondents, a weighted equivalent of 12,849
 valent of 42,742 or 8% of the total Vermont population), and/or diabetes (8 survey responses;
 ry "Yes, during pregnancy" (49 survey responses; weighted equivalent of 3,667 or 0.7% of
 difficulty and at least one of three functional difficulty questions, obesity, and diabetes); data
 or 10.9% of the total Vermont population).

Technical notes & Acknowledgements

Accompanying current demographic estimates for Vermont

Time frame for custom ACS data

The Vermont Department of Aging and Independent Living (DAIL) submitted a request for county-level custom ACS data to the U.S. Census Bureau in February 2015. Five-year files were obtained, since only one of 14 counties in Vermont met the 65,000 population threshold for inclusion in one-year files (Chittenden). The most recent five-year data period available at the time of request was 2009-2013.

Margin of Error

Unless otherwise specified, the data source for the tables in this chart pack is the American Community Survey (ACS). Each estimate from the ACS includes a corresponding statistic, known as the margin of error (MOE). The MOE is a “data precision measure” that helps data users assess the reliability of an estimate. The MOE indicates the variability of the estimate, and whether the estimate is likely to reflect the true value in the entire population. For example, if the population estimate based on the partial survey sample is 100 and the MOE is +/- 20, then the true value for the entire population could be any value between 80 and 120. These are also known as lower and upper confidence bounds. They are calculated by adding or subtracting the MOE to/from the estimate. All ACS estimates include MOEs at the 90% confidence level, meaning that we can be 90% certain that the true population value lies between the lower and upper confidence bounds. Sampling error results when a survey produces estimates of the whole population using information collected from a portion of the population (Torrieri, 2007).

For more information, see:

U.S. Census Bureau. Things that May Affect Estimates from the American Community Survey. February 2013. Last Revised: June 8, 2015. Available at: <http://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/guidance/training-presentations/acs-affect-estimates.html> [Accessed 11-8-16]

Torrieri, Nancy. America is Changing, and So Is the Census: The American Community Survey. The American Statistician, Vol. 61, No. 1, February 2007, pp 16-21.

'Total' counts for custom ACS data

In tables that indicate 'Custom ACS data' as a data source, the values contained in individual rows (subcategories) may not add up to the 'Total'. This is because these values are Census Bureau estimates that were created using survey data. Each value is estimated individually and rounded separately.

In addition, 'Total' counts for the state of Vermont do not necessarily equal the sum of the estimates for 14 individual counties in Vermont. This is also due to each estimate being calculated individually and rounded separately.

Custom ACS disability categories

Publicly available ACS data includes the following disability categories:

- Hearing difficulty
- Vision difficulty
- Cognitive difficulty
- Ambulatory difficulty
- Self-care difficulty
- Independent living difficulty

Instead of using these publicly available categories, we chose to request custom disability categories (in tables that indicate data source as 'Custom ACS data'), to better meet the service planning needs of the Vermont Department of Aging and Independent Living (DAIL). In order to approximate the population needing long-term services and supports (LTSS), the 'Functional Difficulty' category was constructed to include those individuals needing assistance with mobility or self-care, i.e. those identified in the ACS as having 'ambulatory difficulty,' 'self-care difficulty' and/or 'independent living difficulty.' This method has also been used by the University of Massachusetts Medical School in a November 2010 report for the Massachusetts Long-Term Care Financing Committee (referenced below).

Our custom disability categories were constructed using ACS questions, as follows:

Cognitive Difficulty: "Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, does this person have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions?" (DREM; ACS survey question #18a)

Functional Difficulty:

"Does this person have serious difficulty walking or climbing stairs?" (DPHY, ACS question #18b)

and/or "Does this person have difficulty dressing or bathing?" (DDRS, ACS question #18c)

and/or "Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, does this person have difficulty doing errands alone such as visiting a doctor's office or shopping?" (DOUT, ACS question #19)

The 'Cognitive Difficulty' question (18a) and two of three 'Functional Difficulty' questions (18b and 18c) were asked of individuals aged 5 years and older, and the third 'Functional Difficulty' question (19) was asked of individuals aged 15 years and older.

The resulting questions were grouped as follows, into the following categories:

Cognitive Difficulty only - individuals with DREM only (but not a functional difficulty, response 'no' to DDRS, DPHY and DOUT)

Functional Difficulty only - individuals with DDRS, DPHY or DOUT (any of these; at least one), but no cognitive difficulty (response 'no' to cognitive difficulty, DREM)

Both a Functional and Cognitive Difficulty - Individuals with any of DDRS, DPHY, DOUT or DREM

Neither a Functional or Cognitive Difficulty - Individuals who do not have any of DDRS, DPHY, DOUT or DREM (these individuals could have hearing or vision difficulties).

Though imperfect, the custom ACS disability definition maps closely, although not exactly, to other age-specific measures of disability. The custom ACS 'Functional disability' indicator captures a higher portion of the elder population compared with a study by Manton and colleagues (2006) which grouped elders based on needs for assistance with Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) and Activities of Daily Living (ADLs). In an analysis completed based on the Massachusetts population, the 'Functional disability' indicator estimated that one-third of the elder population needed LTSS, compared with only 19% identified as needing assistance

with ADLS or IADLs in the Manton study.

References and Additional Resources

For more information on the 2013 ACS questionnaire, please see:

<http://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/methodology/questionnaires/2013/quest13.pdf>

Disability definitions for DDRS, DPHY, DOUT and DREM are also available on the Census Bureau website:

<http://www.census.gov/people/disability/methodology/acs.html>

Manton KG, et al. Change in chronic disability from 1982 to 2004/2005 as measured by long-term changes in function and health in the U.S. elderly population. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006 Nov 28;103(48):18374-9.

UMass Medical School Center for Health Law and Economics / Massachusetts Long-Term Care Financing Advisory Committee. *Securing the Future*. November 2010. Available at:

<http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/eohhs/ltc/ma-ltcf-full.pdf> [Accessed 11-22-2016]

Calculated data - notes on calculation of MOEs for custom ACS data

Where reported values have been calculated by summing individual subcategories (for example, individual age categories for the general population), margins of error (MOEs) have been calculated using a formula recommended by the U.S. Census Bureau:

- 1) Square MOEs for each component estimate
- 2) Sum the squared MOEs
- 3) Take the square root of the sum of the squared MOEs.

For further details on this methodology, see page A-14 in:

Appendix 1, Understanding and Using ACS Single-Year and Multiyear Estimates, in: U.S. Census Bureau, *A Compass for Understanding and Using American Community Survey Data: What General Data Users Need to Know*. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2008.

Available at: <https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2008/acs/ACSGeneralHandbook.pdf>. [Accessed 11-7-16]

Poverty level for custom ACS data

Population/universe: The ACS does not determine poverty status for people in institutional group quarters (such as prisons or nursing homes), college dormitories, military barracks or situations without conventional housing (and who are not in shelters). Additionally, poverty status cannot be determined for unrelated individuals under age 15 (such as foster children; because income questions are asked of people age 15 and older and, if someone is under age 15 and not living with a family member, we do not know their income. Since we cannot determine their poverty status, they are excluded from the “poverty universe” (table totals). For further information on how the ACS calculates poverty, please see:

U.S. Census Bureau. *How the Census Bureau Measures Poverty*. Last Revised: April 19, 2016. Available at: <http://www.census.gov/topics/income-poverty/poverty/guidance/poverty-measures.html> [Accessed 11-10-16].

Definition used: Tables pertaining to poverty in this Chart Pack reflect 2013 poverty “guidelines” (issued by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services each year and updated in the Federal Register), rather than poverty “thresholds” (issued by the U.S. Census Bureau each year). Poverty “guidelines” are “a simplification of the poverty thresholds created for administrative use, such as determining financial eligibility for certain federal programs. For specific 2013 'family income' amounts used, please see:

Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2013 Poverty Guidelines. 12/01/2013. Available from: <https://aspe.hhs.gov/2013-poverty-guidelines> [Accessed 11-7-16]

Health insurance coverage and payer for custom ACS data

Health insurance coverage and/or types of health insurances in tables using custom ACS data were based on a person's responses to items 16a to 16h on the ACS questionnaire. Some of the Health Insurance Coverage Types are not mutually exclusive. For example, a person can be tallied in the 'Private Coverage' and 'Medicare' row and either the 'Medicare', 'No Medicaid' category or the 'Both Medicare and Medicaid' category. The same is true for the 'Public Coverage', 'No Private Coverage' and 'Medicaid'.

ACS variables for health insurance coverage (Table B6 - Older Adults and C6 - Disabled Individuals):

With Health Insurance Coverage: Variable "HICOV" = 1

Without Health Insurance Coverage: Variable "HICOV" = 2

ACS variables for health insurance - payer (Table B6 - Older Adults):

Private Coverages: Variables "HINS1" and/or "HINS2" and/or "HINS5" = 1

Public: "HINS3" (Medicare) and/or "HINS4" (Medicaid) and/or "HINS6" and/or "HINS7" = 1

ACS variables for health insurance - payer (Table C6 - Disabled individuals):

Private Coverages: Variables "HINS1" and/or "HINS2" and/or "HINS5" = 1

Medicare: "HINS3" = 1

Medicaid: "HINS4" = 1

Both Medicaid and Medicare: "HINS3" = 1 and "HINS4" = 1

Other public: "HINS6" and/or "HINS7" = 1

Detailed information on the ACS Health Insurance items can be obtained from:

Census.gov. Guidance for Data Users - American Community Survey (ACS) - Health Insurance. March 21, 2016. Available at <http://www.census.gov/topics/health/health-insurance/guidance/acs.html> [Accessed 11-7-16]

Percent of Household Income Spent on Housing

Median share of household income spent on housing.

ACS variables:

Renter status: Variable "TENURE" = 3

Owner with mortgage status: Variable "TENURE" = 1

Owner without mortgage status: Variable "TENURE" = 2

Renter expenses as a percent of household income: GRPIP - Gross rent as a percentage of household income past 12 months

Owner house expenses as percent of household income: OCPIP - Selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income during the past 12 months

BRFSS data and variables

Tables A9, A10 and A11 display Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) 2015 data for the state of Vermont. Data represent the estimated numbers of adults (BRFSS only surveys individuals aged 18 years and older) in Vermont, based on 6,489 survey responses that were weighted using a land-line and cell-phone data weighting factor (variable: _LLCPWT). Data variables and categories used are shown below:

Obesity status/BMI: "Body Mass Index/BMI", calculated from height and weight responses (BRFSS variable: _BMI5CAT). Responses were coded into the following three categories:

Obese: "Obese" (BMI >30)

Overweight: "Overweight" (BMI 25-30)

Not Overweight/ Obese: "Underweight" (BMI <18.5) or "Normal weight" (BMI 18.5-25)

'Total' reflects the sum of the above three categories.

Diabetes status: "Ever told you have diabetes" (BRFSS variable: DIABETE3). Responses were coded into the following three categories:

Diabetes: "Yes"

Pre-diabetes: "No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes"

No Diabetes: "No"

'Total' reflects the sum of the above three categories, and does not include the responses "Yes, during pregnancy" or "Don't know/ not sure".

Age categories: We report age for adults aged 18-64 and over 65 years (BRFSS variable: _AGE65YR), in addition to reporting the following four age categories for older adults, as 65-69 years, 70-74 years, 75-79 years and 80+ years (BRFSS variable: _AGEG5YR).

Disability: We used the same definition for disability as we used for ACS (Census Bureau) data. 'Disability' was defined as having a Cognitive Difficulty, Functional Difficulty, or both. 'No disability' was defined as not having either of these types of difficulties. The BRFSS survey questions we used to construct these four disability categories, are identical to the survey questions asked in ACS, as shown below:

Cognitive Difficulty only: Response 'Yes' to question "Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions?" (BRFSS variable: DECIDE), and response "No" to all three Functional disability questions below.

Functional Difficulty: Response 'Yes' to any of the following three questions (at least one 'yes'): "Do you have serious difficulty walking or climbing stairs?" (BRFSS variable: DIFFWALK), "Do you have difficulty dressing or bathing?" (BRFSS variable: DIFFDRES), or "Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have difficulty doing errands alone such as visiting a doctor's office or shopping?" (BRFSS variable: DIFFALON), and a response "No" to the Cognitive Difficulty question above.

Both Cognitive and Functional Difficulty: Response 'Yes' in DECIDE, and 'Yes' in at least one of DIFFWALK, DIFFDRES and DIFFALON variables.

Neither Cognitive nor Functional Difficulty: Response 'No' in all four variables (DECIDE, DIFFWALK, DIFFDRES and DIFFALON).

Heavy Drinking: The BRFSS defines 'heavy drinking' as adult men having more than 14 drinks per week and adult women having more than 7 drinks per week (BRFSS variable: _RFDRHV5 = "yes").

Binge Drinking: The BRFSS defines 'binge drinking' as males having five or more drinks on one occasion, and females having four or more drinks on one occasion (BRFSS variable: _RFBING5 = "yes").

Reported Mental Health Condition: BRFSS question: "Now thinking about your mental health, which includes stress, depression, and problems with emotions, for how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good?" (BRFSS variable MENTHLTH). We defined 'Poor mental health' status as reporting at least 14 days in the last 30 days as having 'not good' mental health. This cut-off (14 days or more) was chosen from a recent report published by the Vermont Department of Health (referenced below).

'Overall' row and 'Total' column counts do not include individuals in categories 'Don't know/not sure', 'Refused' or 'Missing' categories.

References and Additional Resources

Vermont Department of Health (2015) Vermont Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System 2015 Data Summary. October 2016. Available at:

http://healthvermont.gov/research/brfss/documents/summary_brfss_2015.pdf [Accessed 11-23-16]

Centers for Disease and Prevention (CDC). 2015 BRFSS Survey Data and Documentation. Available at:

http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/annual_data/annual_2015.html [Accessed 11-23-16]

Acknowledgements

This data pack was prepared by Michael Grenier, Principal, Katharine London, Principal, Rebecca Laes-Kushner, Senior Research Policy Analyst, Tami Ohler, Senior Research Policy Analyst, Roosa Tikkanen, Policy Analyst, at the Center for Health Law and Economics at Commonwealth Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School (Charlestown, MA), on behalf of the Vermont Department of Aging and Independent Living (DAIL), under the direction of Bard Hill, Director of Policy, Planning and Analysis, and Dale L. Brooks, M.S., Senior Planner.